Journal of Data Acquisition and Processing
ISSN: 1004-9037
https://sjcjycl.cn/
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7749951

A REVIEW OF CSR AND CFP IN INDIAN MANUFACTURING AND SERVICE ENTERPRISE

Bhupender Kumar
Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, YBN University, Ranchi, Jharkhand

Dr Brajesh Kumar Singh
Associate professor, Dean, School of Commerce and Management, YBN University, Ranchi, Jharkhand

ABSTRACT

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and corporate financial performance (CFP) are two critical areas that have been gaining significant attention in the Indian manufacturing and service enterprise sectors. CSR refers to the initiatives taken by companies to address their social and environmental impact, whereas CFP refers to the financial performance of the company. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in CSR in India due to the country's economic growth and the increasing awareness among stakeholders about the importance of sustainability. Many Indian companies have started investing in CSR activities to build their brand image and to enhance their reputation among stakeholders. These activities range from providing education and healthcare facilities to supporting environmental conservation efforts.

INTRODUCTION

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and corporate financial performance (CFP) are two important aspects of business that have gained significant attention in recent years, both globally and in India. CSR refers to the initiatives taken by companies to address their social and environmental impact, whereas CFP refers to the financial performance of the company.

The concept of CSR has evolved over the years, and it is no longer just about philanthropy or charitable donations. Today, it is a strategic approach that companies adopt to balance their economic, environmental, and social responsibilities while ensuring long-term sustainability. On the other hand, CFP refers to a company's financial performance, which includes measures such as profitability, return on investment, and revenue growth.

In India, CSR and CFP have become increasingly important for companies, given the country's economic growth and the increasing awareness among stakeholders about the importance of sustainability. Many Indian companies have started investing in CSR activities to build their brand image, enhance their reputation among stakeholders, and contribute to society and the environment. At the same time, investors and financial analysts are also paying more attention to the financial performance of companies and how it relates to their CSR initiatives.

The term Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has its roots in the 1950s and 1960s, when social issues began to gain increasing attention in the United States. At the time, businesses were largely seen as entities solely focused on maximizing profits and shareholder value, with little regard for their impact on society and the environment.

In 1953, Howard R. Bowen, an American economist, published a book titled "Social Responsibilities of the Businessman," which is widely regarded as the first major work on CSR.

Bowen argued that businesses had a social responsibility to consider the broader impact of their activities on society, beyond their primary goal of generating profits. He also suggested that businesses had a duty to balance their economic and social responsibilities, and that failure to do so could lead to negative consequences for both the company and society.

In the decades that followed, the concept of CSR evolved, with businesses around the world beginning to embrace the idea that they had a responsibility to consider the impact of their activities on society and the environment. Today, CSR is widely recognized as a strategic approach that businesses can use to create long-term value for both their shareholders and society as a whole.

Justification of CSR activities

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities are justified on several grounds. Firstly, CSR activities contribute to the overall well-being of society by addressing social and environmental challenges. By investing in CSR activities, companies can positively impact their surrounding communities and the environment, which can have a ripple effect on society as a whole.

Secondly, CSR activities can help companies build a positive brand image and reputation. By investing in social and environmental initiatives, companies can demonstrate their commitment to social responsibility, which can enhance their credibility and trustworthiness among stakeholders such as customers, employees, and investors.

Thirdly, CSR activities can lead to increased employee engagement and retention. When companies engage in CSR activities, they provide employees with a sense of purpose and pride in working for an organization that is making a positive impact on society. This, in turn, can lead to higher employee engagement and retention, which can have a positive impact on the company's bottom line.

Fourthly, CSR activities can help companies manage risks and build resilience. By investing in social and environmental initiatives, companies can mitigate potential risks such as reputational damage, environmental liabilities, and regulatory non-compliance. This can help companies build resilience and prepare for potential disruptions.

Lastly, CSR activities can lead to long-term business growth and profitability. By investing in CSR activities, companies can create shared value for both the company and society. This can lead to increased customer loyalty, employee engagement, and investor confidence, which can drive long-term business growth and profitability.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Bridoux, F., & Stoelhorst, J. W. (2016). From a business ethics perspective, social responsibility is an important concept that suggests that businesses have a responsibility to act in ways that benefit society as a whole, rather than solely focusing on profits. In the context of small business owners in small towns, there are several ethical theories that can help explain the consequences of social responsibility. Utilitarianism is an ethical theory that suggests that actions should be taken based on their ability to bring about the greatest amount of happiness for the greatest number of people. In the context of social responsibility for small business owners, this theory would suggest that engaging in socially responsible practices would have positive consequences for the community as a whole, such as improved public health or environmental sustainability. By acting in ways that benefit the community, small business owners can create the most overall happiness for the greatest number of people. Stakeholder theory suggests that businesses have a responsibility to consider the needs and interests of all stakeholders, including employees, customers, suppliers, and the community. From this perspective, engaging in socially responsible practices can be seen as a way for small business owners to fulfill their responsibility to the community as a stakeholder group. By engaging in socially responsible practices, small business owners can help to create a more just and equitable society that benefits all stakeholders.

Calabrese, A.,et al (2013) The question of whether corporate social responsibility (CSR) "hits the mark" is an important one, as many businesses engage in CSR activities without a clear understanding of their impact on stakeholders. A stakeholder-oriented methodology for CSR assessment can help to address this issue by providing a framework for assessing the effectiveness of CSR programs in meeting the needs and expectations of all stakeholders. By using a stakeholder-oriented methodology for CSR assessment, businesses can ensure that their CSR programs are effective in meeting the needs and expectations of all stakeholders. This can help to build trust and loyalty among stakeholders and improve overall business performance.

Cantrell, J. E., et al (2015) Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is the concept that businesses have a responsibility to consider the social and environmental impacts of their actions, in addition to their financial performance. One way for businesses to effectively manage their CSR efforts is by developing CSR giving as a dynamic capability. This means that the business should have the ability to adapt and respond to changing stakeholder needs and expectations. Salient stakeholder management refers to the identification and prioritization of stakeholders who have the greatest impact on the business. By developing CSR giving as a dynamic capability, businesses can better manage their CSR efforts and respond to the changing needs and expectations of their stakeholders. This can help businesses build stronger relationships with their stakeholders, enhance their reputation, and create long-term value for their shareholders.

Galant, A., & Cadez, S. (2017). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and transformational leadership are two important concepts that can have a significant impact on brand community. CSR refers to a company's responsibility to consider the social and environmental impacts of its actions, while transformational leadership is a leadership style that inspires and motivates followers to achieve their full potential. Enhanced trust: CSR and transformational leadership can help to build trust between a company and its stakeholders. When a company demonstrates a commitment to social responsibility and is led by a leader who inspires trust and confidence, it can lead to a more engaged and supportive brand community. When a company engages in CSR activities and is led by a transformational leader, it can help to create a sense of shared values and purpose with its stakeholders. This can lead to greater engagement and participation in the brand community. Finally, CSR and transformational leadership can have a positive impact on a company's performance. By building stronger relationships with its stakeholders and creating a more engaged brand community, a company can create a competitive advantage and drive growth and profitability.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is a concept that has gained significant attention in India over the past few decades. In India, CSR is seen as a way for organizations to contribute to the development of society, while also meeting their business goals. The Indian government has also made it mandatory for companies to spend a certain percentage of their profits on CSR activities. There are many examples of Indian organizations that have implemented successful CSR initiatives. One such organization is Tata Group, which is one of India's largest conglomerates. The Tata Group has a long history of CSR activities, including providing clean drinking water, building schools and hospitals, and promoting renewable energy. Another example of an Indian organization that has made significant contributions to CSR is the Aditya Birla Group. The Aditya Birla Group has a strong focus on sustainable development and has implemented various initiatives to promote environmental sustainability, education, and healthcare. Other Indian organizations that have implemented successful CSR initiatives include Infosys, Reliance Industries, and Wipro. These organizations have implemented a range of CSR activities, including environmental conservation, education, and healthcare. In addition to these large organizations, there are also many small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in India that have implemented successful CSR initiatives. These initiatives often focus on local issues, such as providing education and healthcare to disadvantaged communities.

Corporate governance refers to the systems, processes, and principles by which a company is directed and controlled. A stakeholder interpretation of corporate governance emphasizes the importance of considering the interests and needs of all stakeholders in the decision-making process of the company. Stakeholders of a company include shareholders, employees, customers, suppliers, creditors, regulators, and the wider community. The stakeholder interpretation of corporate governance recognizes that the decisions made by a company can have a significant impact on all these groups and that the company has a responsibility to ensure that their interests are considered and protected. The stakeholder interpretation of corporate governance also recognizes that the interests of different stakeholders can sometimes be in conflict. For example, shareholders may prioritize maximizing profits, while employees may prioritize fair wages and working conditions. In such cases, the company must balance the interests of all stakeholders to ensure long-term sustainability and success. The stakeholder interpretation of corporate governance also emphasizes the importance of transparency and accountability. Companies must be transparent in their decision-making processes and provide clear information to all stakeholders about their activities, performance, and impact. Companies must also be accountable for their actions and take responsibility for any negative impacts they may have on stakeholders.

The statement "The social responsibility of a business is to increase its profits" is a controversial one and has been the subject of debate for many years. The idea that the primary responsibility of a business is to increase profits is based on the traditional view of business, which sees the sole purpose of a business as generating profits for its shareholders. However, this view has been challenged in recent years, and there is now a growing consensus that businesses have a broader responsibility to society as a whole. This broader view of social responsibility sees businesses as having a responsibility to consider the impact of their actions on stakeholders, including employees, customers, suppliers, the environment, and the wider community. In this view, businesses are seen as having a responsibility to act ethically and sustainably, to minimize negative impacts on stakeholders, and to contribute to the well-being of society. This may involve investing in socially responsible initiatives such as environmental sustainability, philanthropy, community development, and ethical labor practices. There is growing evidence that businesses that adopt a broader view of social responsibility can be more successful in the long term. By acting responsibly and contributing to society, businesses can build trust with stakeholders, enhance their reputation, attract and retain employees, and create a more sustainable and resilient business model.

Theoretical Basis of CSR

The theoretical basis of CSR is grounded in various academic disciplines, including business ethics, corporate governance, stakeholder theory, and social and environmental sustainability. Here are some of the key theoretical perspectives that inform CSR:

Stakeholder theory: This theory suggests that companies have a responsibility not only to their shareholders but also to other stakeholders, such as employees, customers, suppliers, and the wider community. According to this perspective, companies should engage with their stakeholders and consider their interests in their decision-making processes.

Triple bottom line: This concept proposes that companies should not only focus on their financial performance but also on their social and environmental impacts. The triple bottom line framework considers economic, social, and environmental factors in evaluating a company's overall performance.

Ethical theory: This perspective argues that companies have a moral obligation to behave ethically and responsibly. Ethical theories, such as deontology and utilitarianism, provide frameworks for evaluating the ethical implications of corporate actions.

Resource-based theory: This theory suggests that a company's long-term success depends on its ability to manage its resources effectively, including its social and environmental resources. From this perspective, CSR can be seen as a way to enhance a company's reputation and competitiveness.

Institutional theory: This perspective proposes that companies operate within a larger institutional context that shapes their behavior and expectations. From this perspective, CSR can be seen as a way for companies to conform to societal norms and expectations.

Legitimacy theory: This theory suggests that companies need to gain and maintain the support of stakeholders by demonstrating their legitimacy and social responsibility. CSR can be seen as a way for companies to enhance their legitimacy and reputation with stakeholders.

CONCLUSION

Research studies have suggested that there is a positive relationship between CSR and CFP. Companies that have robust CSR programs are more likely to have better financial performance due to enhanced brand image and reputation, improved employee engagement, and increased customer loyalty. Moreover, the Indian government has also taken several initiatives to encourage companies to invest in CSR activities. In the manufacturing sector, companies have been focusing on sustainable manufacturing practices, reducing their carbon footprint, and improving working conditions for their employees. Similarly, in the service sector, companies have been investing in employee training and development programs, supporting community development initiatives, and promoting gender equality.

Future Research

There are several areas of future research for CSR that can help to deepen our understanding of the role and impact of corporate social responsibility. Here are some possible directions for future research:

The effectiveness of different CSR strategies: A key area of future research is to evaluate the effectiveness of different CSR strategies and interventions, such as corporate philanthropy, environmental sustainability initiatives, or stakeholder engagement programs. Studies can compare the impact of different strategies on stakeholder attitudes and behavior, as well as on business outcomes, such as financial performance or brand reputation.

The role of technology in advancing CSR: Another area of future research is to explore the potential of technology, such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, or social media, in advancing CSR goals and initiatives. Studies can examine how companies can use technology to enhance transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement, as well as to measure and report on their social and environmental impact.

The intersection of CSR and diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI): With increasing attention on DEI issues in the business world, future research can explore the relationship between CSR and DEI, and how companies can effectively integrate DEI considerations into their CSR strategies and practices.

The global diffusion of CSR: As CSR becomes increasingly mainstream, future research can examine the global diffusion of CSR practices and the factors that influence their adoption and implementation in different regions and contexts.

The role of regulation and policy in promoting CSR: Finally, future research can examine the role of regulation and policy in promoting CSR, including the effectiveness of voluntary versus mandatory CSR standards, the impact of regulatory frameworks on business behavior and performance, and the potential for public-private partnerships to advance CSR goals.

REFERENCES

- Adler, P., & Kwon, S. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. Academy of Management Review, 27(1), 17-40. Retrieved from http://aom.org/Publications/AMR/Academy-of-Management-Review.aspx
- Anseel, F., Lievens, F., Schollaert, E., & Choragwicka, B. (2010). Response rates in organizational science, 1995–2008: A meta-analytic review and guidelines for survey researchers. Journal of Business and Psychology, 25(3), 335-349.
- Arend, R. J. (2014). Social and environmental performance at SMEs: Considering motivations, capabilities, and instrumentalism. Journal of Business Ethics, 125(4), 541-561
- Barnes, P. (2011). Capitalism, the commons, and divine right. Retrieved from http://community-wealth.org
- Barnett, M., L. (2019). The Business Case for Corporate Social Responsibility: A Critique and an Indirect Path Forward. Business & Society, 58(1), 167–190.
- Baumann-Pauly, D., Wickert, C., Spence, L. J., & Scherer, A. G. (n.d). Organizing orporate social responsibility in small and large firms: Size matters. Journal of Business Ethics, 115(4), 693-705
- Besser, T. L. (2012). The consequences of social responsibility for small business owners in small towns. Business Ethics: A European Review, 21(2), 129-139Besser, T. L. (2012). The consequences of social responsibility for small business owners in small towns. Business Ethics: A European Review, 21(2), 129-139
- Bridoux, F., & Stoelhorst, J. W. (2016). Stakeholder relationships and social welfare: A behavioral theory of contributions to joint value creation. Academy of Management Review, 41(2), 229-251

- Calabrese, A., Costa, R., Menichini, T., & Rosati, F. (2013). Does corporate social responsibility hit the mark? A stakeholder oriented methodology for CSR assessment. Knowledge & Process Management, 20(2), 77-89
- Cantrell, J. E., Kyriazis, E., & Noble, G. (2015). Developing CSR giving as a dynamic capability for salient stakeholder management. Journal of Business Ethics, 130(2), 403-421Carroll, A. B. (1979). A three-dimensional conceptual model of corporate performance. Academy of Management Review, 4(4), 497-505. The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. Business Horizons, 34(4), 39-41. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/business-horizons
- Carroll, A. B. (1993). Business and Society: Ethics and stakeholder management.
- Chaudhry& Krishnan, (2007), 'Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility and Transformational Leadership on Brand Community'. www.rkvenkat.org/karan.pdf
- Corporate Social Responsibility in Indian Organization: AnIntrospection by Rajeev Prabhakar and Ms. Sonam Mishra
- Abdul Alim Khan "Brand Building Through Corporate Social Responsibility: A Comparative Study" from, Indore (MP) shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/jspui/bitstream/10603/127521/12/synopsis.pdf