Journal of
Data Acquisition and Processing

ISSN: 1004-9037
https://sjcjycl.cn/
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.776546

RECENT TRENDS OF LOADFLOW TECHNIQUES IN DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM:- A REVIEW

Pinkey Singh[1] ,Surender Singh[2], Meena Kumari[3] , Manisha[4],Ravinder Kumar Sahdev[5] , Amit kumar[6], Himanshu Giroh[7]

Assistant Professor,UIET/ EE MDU Rohtak[1,2,3,4,5,6]

Research Scholar, UIET/ EE MDU Rohtak[7]

[1]https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5421-8783

[2]https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1753-3423

E-mail:-

pinkey.rp.uiet@mdurohtak.ac.in[1],surender.b1985@gmail.com[2],meena.uiet@mdurohtak.ac.in[3],manisha.rp.uiet@mdurohtak.ac.in[4]ravindersahdev.uiet@mdurohtak.ac.in[5] , Amitloura33@gamil.com[6] , girohhimanshu@gmail.com[7]

Abstract:

Load flow algorithms for power distribution systems (PDS) differ from those for transmission systems due to unique topological characteristics. The integration of renewable energy sources with electrical power systems has gained significant interest in recent years, offering environmental and financial benefits. However, this integration introduces additional uncertainties, requiring suitable uncertainty models for power systems. This paper covers both deterministic load flow (DLF) and probabilistic load flow (PLF) techniques. The IEEE-33 Bus system is utilized to assess uncertainty in line and load data. The results indicate favourable outcomes in terms of improving voltage profiles and reducing actual power losses.

Key Words: Probabilistic Load Flow (PLF), deterministicLoad Flow (DLF), Newton-Raphson Method, Forward Backward Sweeping (FBS), Power Distribution Systems(PDS).

1. Introduction:

Around the world, the size and complexity of the power system are both constantly increasing. Today, more than ever, there is a great need for numerous system studies. Distribution networks are distinguished by their radial topology and high R/X ratio. When it comes to solving such networks, traditional power flow algorithms have a convergence problem [2-44]. Over the past few decades, numerous techniques for addressing power flow and uncertainty issues have been reported in the literature[45-64]. Despite this, researchers are currently working to develop faster, more reliable algorithms that nevertheless provide satisfactory results. In order to obtain information more quickly and precisely, the effects of voltage fluctuations are primarily taken into consideration. In terms of storage requirements and solution speed, these approaches are wasteful even for the converged cases. This led to the development of the new load flow analysis methods incorporating distributed generation and different compensating devices[65-102].

This study traces the history of today's three-phase distribution load flow, which includes various feeding sources, embedded FACTS devices, Forward Backward Sweep (FBS)/Ladder

Network based approaches, Newton-like methods and other fast decoupling methods and Implicit Z-bus Gauss methods.

2. Load Flow Technique

The Ward and Hale approach introduced the load flow calculation studies in 1956 [1], and they have since grown to be a crucial and important tool in the field of power system engineering. They are used both during the planning and operational phases. The load flow problem must be solvable for systems with tens of thousands of nodes, several voltage levels, radial or mesh topologies, unbalanced loads, and distributed energy sources of any kind.

In this section, the approaches taken to address the load flow difficulty in distribution systems are described, taking into account their special traits and the numerous challenges that their prospective future advancements may provide.Theload flow techniques has been chatagorised into two types, one for probabilistic load flow solution methods and the other for deterministic load flow solution methods as shown in figure 1.



Figure 1. Classification of Load Flow Methods

2.1 Deterministic Load Flow Methods

Although there have been numerous approaches to the load flow issue in distribution systems, the majority of them fall into one of three categories: (i) backward/forward sweep methods; (ii) Newton-type approaches; and (iii) Gauss-Seidel approaches.

2.1.1 Ladder Network/Forward Backward Sweep (FBS) Based Methods

The most widely used technique for calculating distribution load flow [2–19] is this one. This method's initial iteration was developed using a radial system model and only taking PQ nodes into consideration. Since it was first put out [2], a number of improvements have been made that allow it to solve systems with weakly meshed topologies [3, 4], voltage-dependent loads [5, 6], distributed generation [6], three-phase [7] or three-phase four-wire systems, including neutral grounding [8].In a backward sweep method, iterations begin with estimates of the terminating bus voltages. The various bus voltages are then determined using a reverse trace, as a consequence, a computed value for the source voltage is obtained [9]. For the square of the magnitude of the voltage, a forward trace is used to solve a quadratic equation after the power is added up using a reverse trace of the system [10].A weakly meshed structure compensation approach that starts with a network structure analysis to determine the connectivity sites. The meshed system structure is then transformed to a basic tree type radial system by breaking these connecting points [11]. Rather than complicated currents, active and reactive power are used as variables in this improved compensation-based load flow method [12]. Voltage regulators, shunt capacitors, and automatic local tap controllers for unbalanced and distributed loads,all while maintaining the high execution speed required in automated distribution systems for real-time applications [13]. The compensation approach for poorly meshed distribution networks has been improved to take into account the impacts of load and shunt admittance [14]. Two methods based on the admittance and current summation method has been reported[15-16]. A branch current summation based FBS load flow algorithm has been presented[17]. To speed up the convergence of compensation-based systems, for meshed distribution networks, a two-stage load flow technique has been designed [18]. A power summation based FBS load flow algorithm has been discussed[19].

2.1.2 Implicit Z-bus Gauss Methods

A bi-factorized complex Y admittance matrix is used in the Gauss Implicit method, which is based on Equivalent Current Injection(ECI) [20]. A load flow approach that works for meshed distribution networks by developing a simple loop equation and describing loads as complex impedances [21]. To enhance computational performance, a modified Gauss-Siedel approach was developed with the combination of the Gauss-Siedel and implicitZ-bus methods. This approach, which factors the Y-three matrix's submatrices rather than the entire Y-matrix, works well with radial, weakly meshed, and looping networks [22]. This topological technique produces the matrices Bus Injection to Branch Current (BIBC) and Branch Current to Bus Voltage (BCBV). The load flow solution can be obtained by simply multiplying these two matrices [23].

2.1.3 Newton Like Methods and Modified Fast Decoupled Methods

The load flow problem for ill-conditioned systems may not be solved by conventional Newton-Raphson or Newton-like methods. This problem has been solved using a number of Newton-like strategies [24– 30].A method for addressing radial load flow as a subroutine within the optimum capacitor size issue, three nonlinear equations are developed for each branch. Some simplifications are applied to the Jacobian matrix in order to improve computing performance [24]. The typical three-phase decoupled theory is modified to produce a fast decoupled 3-phase load flow suitable for DSLF. The angle and voltage corrections are calculated and evaluated using the submatrices (B' and B") in this model [25]. The branch current based NR approach is used in a phase decoupled load flow method based on the ECI method. The approach is unaffected by line arguments and is much faster [26]. In order to depict the Jacobian matrix as a product of UDU Transpose, the network radial structure is evaluated using the modified NR approach, where U is the upper triangular matrix that is constant andD is a diagonal matrix whose components are entirely impacted by the system topology whose members are updated at each iteration [27]. The Jacobian matrix is presented in complicated form in this three-phase power flow formulation,Although, by removing the mismatch component induced by voltage variations, certain simplifications are introduced [28].Current injection method, which is based on nodal current injections written in rectangular coordinates and takes voltage-dependent loads into account, is another Newton-like approach that is receiving considerable interest. [29].The convergence of the backward/forward sweep method and the current injection approach have been thoroughly compared.[30].

Table 1
Brief review of determinstic load flow methods

Sr.No.	Refrence No.	Technique/Method	Test System	findings
1	31(2012)	Fuzzy arithmetic and fuzzy logic principle	29−node	Improved voltage
2	32(2014)	F/B Sweep	IEEE 33 bus	Enhancing the voltage profile and minimising losses
3	33(2016)	Compares the performance characteristics of Both the Forward/Backwards Sweep (FBS) method and the Newton-Raphson (NR) method	Test feeder for IEEE 13 nodes	Improving the voltage profile and reduce the real power losses
4	34(2017)	Backward and Forward Sweep	33 Node RDS and 69 Node RDS	Enhancing the voltage profile and minimising losses
5	35(2018)	Backward and Forward Sweep	IEEE 69 bus	Real power losses reduces and node voltages improved

6	36(2019)	Modified backward/forward sweep algorithm	IEEE 13-node test feeder and the 123-node test feeder	Power loss reduces
7	37(2020)	Backward and Forward Sweep	15-bus system, IEEE 69-bus	Improved voltage
8	38(2021)	Backward and Forward Sweep	Systems for the IEEE 15 bus, IEEE 33 bus, and IEEE 69 bus	Improved voltage
9	39(2009)	the implicit Z-Bus Gauss method	The IEEE 4 Node Test Feeder	Reactive power losses reduces and node voltages improved
10	40(2020)	implicit Z-bus Gauss microgrid algorithm	The mesh microgrid with 33 buses	Improved voltage
11	41(2000)	Fast-decoupled *G*-matrix method	UNIX workstation	Less memory, faster
12	42(2000)	Newton–Raphson (NR) algorithm	Eight-bus distribution systemwith unbalanced loading	Robust and fast
13	43(2003)	Fast Decoupled Power Flow Method	IEEE 34-node system	The method is accurate, fast, stable
14	44(2021)	Newton-Raphson method	57-bus IEEE distribution test system	Actual power losses, reactive power losses, and voltage profile

The aforementioned findings demonstrate that, although the Gauss-Siedel approach is straightforward and simple to apply, it becomes more time-consuming (requires more iterations) when the number of buses rises; Compared to other methods, the Newton-Raphson method is more accurate and yields better results with fewer iterations; Though the fastest technique, the fast decoupled method makes assumptions to speed up calculation, hence it is less precise.

2.2 Probabilistic Load Flow-

The deterministic load flow problem excludes elements that can be extremely important when analysing distribution networks with or without dispersed generation penetration, such as fluctuating load requirements and power oscillations brought on by renewable energy. To take the uncertainties into account, a different mathematical approach is required.The main sources of uncertainty in contemporary power systems include network failures, incorrect predictions, and random fluctuations in input data.Additionally, the rise of intermittent REs makes the uncertainty worse.One of the most promising tools, probabilistic power flow (PPF), is highly valued in a variety of power system applications. Borkowska first suggested using probabilistic analysis to examine electricity flow in 1974 [45].It has also been used in other areas, such as short- and long-term planning, power system normal operation, and other domains [46].PPF employs information about the uncertainties of the input variables to calculate the uncertainties of the output variables. A power system's output variables include bus voltage magnitudes and angles, branch power flows and losses, slack bus powers, and the generator buses' reactive power[47].The hierarchy of uncertainty in distribution system is shown in figure 2.



Figure 2. Schematic Diagram for Hierarchy of Uncertainty in Distribution System

2.2.1 Uncertainties in distribution system

All feasible combinations of system inputs are examined in order to analyse the uncertainty in system planning. On the other hand, forecasting mistakes are used to reflect the system operating uncertainty.A radial distribution system using a fuzzy model in which load uncertainties are represented by fuzzy numbers [48]. An interval arithmetic technique that accounts for the input load parameters uncertainty and gives the problem strict boundaries [49].

For determining node voltages,branch current and overall real and reactive power losses, interval arithmetic and fuzzy set theory are used [50]. To account for the unpredictable input parameters, the power flow method uses interval arithmetic [51]. A fuzzy distribution power flow method that is used for load prediction uncertainty, system parameters and voltage dependent load model parameters like, line reactance,bus shunts and line resistance all at the same time [52]. Due to measurement mistakes, the input data is unreliable. To handle the uncertainties, the interval load flow solution was obtained using the interval mathematics (IM) tool [53].The main sources of uncertainty are node power and network uncertainty.The unpredictability connected to generating units and system load requirements is dealt with by node power uncertainty. However, network uncertainties primarily result from line parameter changes or any network component failure. Utilizing uncertainty handling techniques primarily aims to quantify the impact of input parameter uncertainty on output parameters. The system model and the availability of input data are used to categorise these strategies. There are differrent uncertainty handling techniques which are presented in Table 2 with their advantages ,disadvantages and applications.

Table 2
Comparison of various methods for addressing uncertainty in power systems

Sr no.	Uncertaintyhandling Technique	Advantages	Disadvantages	Application
1	Probabilistic	Easy implementation, accurate forcomplex and non-linear problems, dependency modelling.	Requires large amount of historicaldata, computationally expensive, approximate output.	Power system planning and operation, reliability evaluation, electric railwaysystem, stability examination.
2	Possibilistic	Model the uncertainty even if thehistorical data is missing/imprecise, extract numerical values from thelinguistic information	Complex to implement, cannotmodel dependency, time taking.	Planning of power distribution networks as efficiently as possible, voltage stability.
3	Information gap decision theory	useful for navigating the serious uncertainties in the electricity system.	Complexity is high.	Systems for managing energy, planning for expanding OPF transmission.
4	Robust optimization	Useful for solving optimizationproblem considering RVs	Cannot consider correlation bet- ween the	Unit commitment, frequencystability,

		withlack of information.	uncertainty sets, not simple to apply to non-linear models.	optimization problems.
5	Interval analysis	Obtain the bounds of the outputusing the bounds of the input.	Connection between the intervalscannot be modelled.	Reliability evaluation, powersystem operation.

Only when sufficient historical data regarding uncertain variables or associated PDFs are available can probabilistic approaches be used [54].The probabilistic load flow problem can be resolved analytically and numerically. The fundamental strategies incorporated into the probabilistic load flow solution are summarised here, along with examples of how they are used in distribution system analysis-

1) Methods based on numerical data and sampling: These include Quasi-MCS (QMCS),Non-sampling, Uniform Design Sampling (UDS), and Monte Carlo Simulation (MCS).

2) Analytical techniques: These comprise the Cumulant Method (CM), the Convolution Technique, and others.

3) Methods based on approximation: It includes Point Estimation Method (PEM), Modified point estimation methodi.e. unscented transformation method(UTM) etc.

1.Numerical solution methods

A Monte Carlo simulation approach's two main parts are random sampling and random number production.Essentially, load flow with uncertainty based on this method includes solving a deterministic power flow with inputs in different combination repeatedly utilising the nonlinear form of the load flow equations.[55].Due to the application of exact load flow equations,findings from this approach are frequently compared to validate their accuracy, compare them to other probabilistic load flow systems.

2. Analytical solution methods

Analytical methods (Ams) are suggested in order to reduce the computational cost involved with simulation-based approaches. These techniques outperform simulation-based techniques in terms of computational efficiency. To conduct PPF, they need a number of assumptions [56–58]. Input independence, network setup as a fixed parameter, linearization of load flow equations, and probability distribution for the loads are some of the often utilised assumptions. The main concept of the analytical technique is to conduct arithmetic using the density functions of random input variables in order to obtain the density functions of random state variables and line flows. Though it can be difficult to solve probabilistic load flow equations for a variety of reasons, there are basically two: Because input power variables may not be totally independent of one another and load flow equations are nonlinear, this is possible.

3. Approximate methods(APMs)

The Point estimation method(PEM) and Unscented transformation method are the most commonly used APMs.Emilio Rosenblueth first presented the PEM in 1975 [59] to control symmetric RVs, and the method's application was extended to cover both correlated and unsymmetric RVs in 1981 [60]. The PEM variations and their performance comparison are presented in [61].Unscented transformationMethod was proposed to use the linearization technique to address the drawbacks of probabilistic powe flow (PPF) methods [62]. In evaluating the statistics of output RVs going through non-linear transformations, this technique has performed well. The fundamental principle of UTM is that approximating a probability density functions (PDF) is more convenient than approximating any nonlinear function [63]. Even when the system size is big, UTM produces findings that are extremely precise and require less computing time [64].

2.2.2 Multiple Feeding Sources (Distributed Generation)

With PV node compensation, the compensation-based power flow mechanism has been expanded to a Dispersed Generation (DG) distribution system[65].Co-generators and recent technological advancements in energy storage devices ,micro turbines and fuel cellshave enabled scattered generation at the distribution level in terrestrial distribution networks[66]. The iterative process of power flow computation has been shown to be faster and more reliable when voltage correction is included. A 3-phase unbalanced system with DG is subjected to the compensationbasedtechnique[67]. For distribution systems with DGs and loops, a general load flow approach is used. To employ the recursive equations, distribution systems with numerous feeding sources and mesh configurations must first be transformed to an equivalent single source radial system[68]. A power flow approach based on adaptive compensation is described[69]. PV buses will be represented in a novel way in the Three-phase Current Injection Method (TCIM). As a new state variable, the reactive power is represented, this formulation necessitates an augmented linearized system of equations[70]. The voltage control devices and distributed generators that automatically adjust the reactive power outputs of static VAR compensators ,synchronous generators, switched capacitors, regulating transformer tap positions and induction generatorsusing a sensitivity-based approach[71]. The substation and participating DGs' real power outputs can be modified using participation factors, a distributed slack bus model based Newton Raphson power flow solver is utilized[72]. A power flow system of three-phase that takes into account transformer voltage regulation as well as distributed generation[73]. Using the voltage stability index as a guide, a technique for optimal distributed generation siting has been developed.The applicability of the Wind Turbine Generator System (WTGS) is highlighted[74].

Table 3

Brief review of distributed generation sources

Sr.No.	Refrence No.	Technique/Algorithm	Test System	Findings	Remarks
1	75(2010)	Genetic Algorithms (GA)	33 bus	Reduces real power loss,	4 DG is the best choice for voltage improvement
2	76(2011)	Artificial bee colony (ABC)	33,69 bus	The best DG unit size, placement to minimise the system's	reliable, effective, and able to handle mixed integer nonlinear

				overall real power loss and power factor	optimization issues
3	77(2013)	Modified Bacterial Foraging Optimization (MBFO)	12-bus system, 34-bus system, and 69-bus system	Decreases overall power loss and enhances voltage profile	Proper sizing and placement of DG
4	78(2015)	Multi-objective index based approach	16-bus and 12 bus	Decreases actual power loss and enhances voltage profile	Location, size of DG andvoltage index
5	79 (2016)	Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm,Impedance based method for fault location	IEEE 12 bus	Power loss is reduced	Fault location is identified,optimal DG placement and size, Voltage Stability Index (VSI)
6	80(2017)	Hybrid grey wolf optimizer (HGWO)	Indian 85-bus system, IEEE 69-bus system, and IEEE 33-bus system	Minimize the power loss, enhancement of the voltage profile	
7	81(2019)	To identify potential buses for the insertion of APF in the presence of nonlinear load, the new nonlinear load position based APF current injection (NLPCI) technique is developed. The ideal size of the APF is found using the Grey Wolf Optimizer (GWO).	33-bus RDS with nonlinear load	Active power filter (APF) placement and sizing was optimised, and the result was a nearly 2.5-fold decrease in APF ratings.	The outputs of GWO are compared with those of harmony search and particle swarm optimization (PSO) (HS),THD

8	82(2019)	Salp swarm algorithm (SSA)	IEEE 33 and 69 bus	Decreased power loss, voltage variations, and increased bus voltage stability	Optimal allocation of DGs and CBs,total electrical energy costreduced
9	83(2019)	Multi-objective opposition based chaotic differential evolution (MOCDE)	IEEE-33 and IEEE-69 bus system	Power loss and yearly economic loss minimization as well as improvement of voltage profile	Positioning of DGs in ideal places and of ideal sizes.
10	84(2018)	Branchwise minimization technique (BWMT)	16 node radial distribution network	Reduce the system's capital and energy loss costs as much as possible. power loss reduction	
11	85(2019)	Hybrid approach based on PSO	**17-Node System**	Reduced real loss and better voltage profile	Optimal location of substation
12	86(2018)	Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)		Power loss reduction	Optimal conductor and then the location of optimal conductor
13	87(2018)	Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)		Real power loss and voltage deviation index.	Substation location,feeder numbers, their routes, best conductor choice, quantity and placement of connect lines, and sectionalizing switches

14	88(2021)	Firefly Analytical Hierarchy Algorithm (FAHA)	**118-bus**system	Improve the overall voltage profile, to reduce power loss and raise the network stability index.	Voltage stability index (VSI).

2.2.3 Application of Flexible Alternating Current Transmission Systems (FACTS) Devices

In a radial distribution system the Thyristor Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC) is a comparable approach for improving voltage control[89]. In a modified Newton approach in rectangular dimensions, an extended Jacobian matrix is necessary to handle the extra series FACTS devices connection between each control action and control variable [90]. With ideally arranged D-STATCOM, a load flow approach that accommodates numerous sources and looping of distribution networks is used [91]. The embedded series is incorporated into a Line Flow Based (LFB) formulation of power balance equations for analysing a radial distribution systemand shunt FACTS devices efficiently[92].

Table 4

Brief review of FACTSDevices

Sr.No.	Refrence No.	Technique/Device	Test System	Findings	Remarks
1	93(2010)	Algorithm Line Flow Based Decoupled with embedded series FACTS device (TCSC) is implemented	IEEE 34-bus system	Improved voltage profile	
2	94(2012)	Discrete Particle Swarm Optimization(DPSO)	15-node RDS, 33-node	Achieving optimal voltage control, decrease the total cost and power losses,	Power Loss Index (PLI)
3	95(2013)	D-STATCOM	IEEE 33-bus RDS	Improved voltage profile ,reduction in power loss	Ideal placement and size of DSATATCOM

4	96(2014)	Unified Power Flow Controller(UPFC)	IEEE 33-bus RDS	Reduce both active and reactive losses while keeping the voltage within acceptable limits.	
5	97(2015)	Bacterial Foraging Optimization Algorithm (BFOA)	IEEE 33-bus system and 119-bus system	Reducing power loss, operating expenses, and improving voltage profiles	Optimal size of DG and DSTATCOM,Loss sensitivity factor
6	98(2016)	Forward-Backward sweep load flow algorithm/ DSTATCOM	IEEE-33 bus RDS	Reduction in power loss	Minimising annual energy loss costs (AELC) and increasing total economic savings costs (TESC)
7	99(2017)	The back-tracking Search Algorithm (BSA)	IEEE 33 Bus RDS	Reduction in power loss	Thyristor-controlled series compensator (TCSC), capacitor banks, and distributed generations (DGs) optimal sizing and placement
8	100(2018)	Combining General Algebraic Modeling System with Particle Swarm Optimization	33-bus, 69-bus, and 30-bus real-time distribution system	Improvements in power losses, voltage profiles, and voltage stability margins, as well as cost-savings on energy loss and yearly	Optimal placement and sizing of D-STATCOM

				energy savings	
9	101(2019)	Forward–backward sweep method /gravitational search algorithm (GSA)	IEEE 33 and IEEE 69 bus systems	Total power loss minimization, reduction of the voltage profile index, increase in voltage profile, andincrease in total yearly energy savings	Appropriate position and capacity of D-STATCOM
10	102(2020)	Weighted Multi-objective optimization technique	IEEE 5 bus system	Real and Reactive power flow, Bus voltage, Real and reactive power loses	Sensitive bus identified

3. Mathematical Model:

3.1 Radial Distribution Systems

The three-phase radial distribution network is considered to be balanced and can be depicted by an equivalent single line diagramas shown in Figure 2.



Figure 3. Diagram of a balanced power system in a single line

The load current is computed as follows:

$$I_{jj} = \frac{|V_{m1}| \angle \delta_{m1} - |V_{m2}| \angle \delta_{m2}}{Z_{jj}} \quad (1)$$

$$P_{m2} - jQ_{m2} = V_{m2} * I_{jj} \quad (2)$$

$$P_{loss} = \frac{R_{jj} * \left(P_{m2}^2 + Q_{m2}^2\right)}{|V_{m2}^2|} \quad (3)$$

$$Q_{loss} = \frac{X_{jj} * \left(P_{m2}^2 + Q_{m2}^2\right)}{|V_{m2}^2|} \quad (4)$$

Where
V(m1) sending end node voltage
V(m2) receiving end node voltage,
P_{loss} and Q_{loss} are real and reactive power loss of the branch (jj).

3.2 Load Variations

Uncertainties due to errors in load forecast, errors in the measured value of transformer and fluctuation in load demand in bound form may be expressed as:

$$P_i = P_0(1 \pm \lambda) \quad (5)$$

$$Q_i = Q_0(1 \pm \lambda) \quad (6)$$

Where λ represent the variation in real and reactive power.

4. Proposed Algorithm:

That actual line data is obviously necessary for computing the load flow solution and, consequently, line lossesand load data of the test system. In the RDS domain, the major algorithms for load flow analysis consider load as a continuous power load. However, as discussed above, the load data is the function of consumer demand. So, in this paper we have considered three different cases of load demand. Therefore, it is necessary to modify traditional load flow analysis methodsas per the load data specified in the input data.The detailed algorithm and Pseudo code for this load flow analysis is given below-

4.1 Load flow Algorithm:

i. Read the system input data including line data, and loads at various buses.
ii. Determine the nodes beyond each branch and total number of nodes
iii. Voltages at all the buses including the source node are initialised to a flat start of 1.0 p.u.
iv. Solve the conventional load flow equations (1) - (4) and find out the current, node voltage and system real and reactive losses.
v. Load data (P & Q) are updated by solving equation (5 & 6).
vi. Then solve the load flow equations (1-4) and find the current, node voltage and system real and reactive losses.
vii. At last find the results of load flow for the three different cases and print the results.

5. Result Analysis:

The suggested method was evaluated against previously described RDS networks in research papers to ensure its effectiveness and accuracy. However, for the sake of this presentation, 33 Node RDS was taken into account.The published paper [103] contains data from 33 nodes with a 12.66kV RDS. In the base situation, total reactive power losses were 143.1518kVAr, and total actual power losses were 211.1553kW. The lowest voltage measured 0.9062p.u.The suggested technique was tested with varying load data, and the results are shown in Table 1.

Table 5

Base case with IEEE 33 node RDS load flow findings with Load data variation

	Base Case	Case 1 1+δ	Case 2 1-δ
Total Real Power Loss(kW)	211.1553	234.7912	188.9759

Total ReactivePowerLoss(kVAr)	143.1518	159.2023	128.0941
Minimum Voltage(p.u)	0.9062	0.9012	0.9112
Maximum Voltage (p.u)	0.997	0.9969	0.9972

where,

Case 1: where Load data increased in a step of 5%

Case 2: where Load data decreased in a step of 5%

In this case study, the changes in load data are represented by δ and are taken as 5%.

Figure 4depicts the influence of Case 1 and Case 2 on the current profile in contrast to the Base Case for 33 node RDS.



Figure 4. Current Profile of 33 Node RDS

Figure 5depicts the influence of Case 1 and Case 2 on the voltage profile in contrast to the Base Case for 33 node RDS.



Figure 5. VoltageProfile of 33 Node RDS

Figure 6depicts the influence of Case 1 and Case 2 on real power loss profile in contrast to the Base Case for 33 node RDS.



Figure 6. Real Power Loss Profile of 33 Node RDS

Figure 7depicts the influence of Case 1 and Case 2 on reactive power loss profile in contrast to the Base Case for 33 node RDS.

Figure 7. Reactive Power Loss Profile of 33 Node RDS

6. Conclusion:

This paper gives a brief overview of various methods for distribution system load flow analysis, including deterministic and probabilistic methods. The system where there is uncertainty in the line and load data is not suitable for the deterministic approach. Sincere attempts were undertaken in this article to take the uncertainty in the load data into account, and a modified algorithm based on B/F sweep was evaluated on an IEEE-33 Bus system. The findings demonstrate how uncertainty impacts the system's voltage profile, losses, and loading.

References :

[1] J.B. Ward and H.W. Hale, "Digital computer solution of power flow problems",AIEEETrans.(Powersystem),vol.75,pp.398-404,June1956.

[2] R. Berg, E.S. Hawkins, and W.W. Pleines, “Mechanized calculation of unbalancedloadflow onradialdistributioncircuits,”IEEETrans.onPowerApparatusandSystems,vol.86,no4, pp.415-421, April1967.

[3] D. Shirmohammadi, H.W. Hong, A. Semlyen, and G.X. Luo, “A compensation basedpower flow method for weakly meshed distribution networks,” IEEE Trans. on PowerSystems,vol.3,no.2,pp. 753-762,May1988.

[4] G.X. Luo and A. Semlyen, “Efficient load flow for large weakly meshed networks,”IEEETrans.on PowerSystems,vol.5,no.4,pp.1309-1316,November1990.

[5] M.H. Haque, “Load flow solution of distribution systems with voltage dependent loadmodels,”ElectricPowerSystemsResearch, vol.36,pp.151-156,1996.

[6] Y. Zhu and K. Tomsovic, “Adaptive power flow method for distribution systems withdispersed generation,” IEEE Trans. on Power Delivery, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 822–827,July2002.

[7] C.S. Cheng and D. Shirmohammadi, “A three phase power flow method for real timedistribution system analysis,” IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 671–679, May1995.

[8] R.M. Ciric, A. PadilhaFeltrin, and L.F. Ochoa, “Power flow in fourwire distributionnetworks – General approach,” IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, vol. 18, no. 4, pp.1283-1290,November2003.

[9] Kersting W H and Mendive D L , “An Application of Ladder Network Theory to theSolutionofThreePhaseRadialLoadFlowProblem”,IEEEPESWinterMeeting,1967.

[10] Broadwater R P, Chandrasekharan A, Huddle C T and Khan A H , “Power FlowAnalysis of Unbalanced Multiphase Radial Distribution Systems”, Electrical

PowerSystemResearch,Vol.14, pp.23-33,1988.

[11] Shirmohammadi D, Hong H W, Semlyen A and Luo G X , "A Compensation BasedPower Flow Method for Weakly Meshed Distribution and Transmission Networks",IEEETrans.PowerSystems,Vol.3,No.2, pp.753-762,1988.

[12] LuoGXandSemlyenA,"EfficientLoadFlowforLargeWeaklyMeshedNetworks",IEEETrans.PowerSystems,Vol.5, pp.1309-1316,1990.

[13] Cheng C S and ShirmohammadiD , "A Three Phase Power Flow Method for RealTime Distribution System Analysis", IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp.671-679.1995.

[14] Haque M H , "Efficient Load Flow Method for Distribution Systems with Radial orMeshConfiguration",IEEProc.Gen.Trans.Distri.,Vol.143,No.1.1996a.

[15] Rajicic D and Bose A , "A Modification to the Fast Decoupled PowerFlow forNetworks with High R/X Ratios", IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp.743-745,1988.

[16] RajicicDand TaleskiR ,"Two NovelMethodsforRadialand Weakly MeshedNetworksAnalysis",ElectricalPowerSystemResearch,Vol.49,pp.79-87,1988.

[17] Thukaram D, Banda H M W and Jerome J , " A Robust Three Phase Power FlowAlgorithm for Radial Distribution Systems", Electrical Power System Research, Vol.50,No.3,pp. 227-236,1999.

[18] Chen G J, Li K K, Chung T S and Tang G Q , "An Efficient Two Stage Load FlowMethod forMeshedDistribution Networks",Proc.APSCOM,pp.537-542,2000.

[19] Ghosh S and Das D , "An Approach for Load Flow Solution of Meshed DistributionNetworks",IE(India)Journal-EL,Vol. 84,pp. 66-70,2003.

[20] 20 Chen T H, Chen M S, Hwang K J, Kotas P and Chebli E A , "Distribution SystemPower Flow Analysis-A Rigid Approach", IEEE Trans. Power Delivery, Vol. 6, pp.1146-1152,1991.

[21] 21Goswami S K and Basu S K , "Direct Solution of Distribution Systems", IEEProceedings-C,Vol. 138,No. 1, pp. 78-88,1991.

[22] Teng J H and Chang C Y , "A Novel and Fast Three Phase Load Flow for UnbalanceRadial Distribution Systems", IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 1238-1244,2000.

[23] TengJH,"ADirectApproachforDistributionSystemLoadFlowSolutions",IEEE Trans.PowerDelivery, Vol. 18,No. 3,pp. 882-887,2003.

[24] BaranMEandWuFF,"OptimalSizingofCapacitorsPlacedonaRadialDistribution System", IEEE Trans. Power Delivery, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 735-743,1989.

[25] GarciaAVandZagoMG,"ThreePhaseFastDecoupledPowerFlowforDistribution Networks", IEE Proc. Genr. Trans. Distri., Vol. 143, No. 2,pp. 188-192,1996.

[26] Lin W M and Teng J H , "Phase Decouple Load Flow Method for Radial and WeaklyMeshed Distribution Networks", IEE Proc. Genr. Trans. Distri.,Vol. 143, No. 1, pp.39-42,1996.

[27] Zhang F and Cheng C S , "A Modified Newton Method for Radial Distribution LoadFlowAnalysis",IEEETrans.PowerSystems,Vol.12,No.1,pp.389-397,1997.

[28] Nguyen H L , "Newton-Raphson Method in Complex Form", IEEE Trans. PowerSystems,Vol.12,No.3, pp. 1355-1359,1997.

[29] 29 V.M. da Costa, N. Martins, and J.L.R. Pereira, "Developments in the NewtonRaphson power flow formulation based on current injections," IEEE Trans. on PowerSystems,vol.14,no.4,pp. 1320-1326,November1999.

[30] L.R.deAraujo,D.R.RPenido,S.Carneiro,J.L.R.Pereira,andP.A.N.Garcia,"Comparisonsbetweenthethree-phasecurrentinjectionmethodandtheforward/backward sweep method," Electrical Power and Energy Systems, vol. 32, pp.825-833,2010.

[31] JaydeepChakavortyandMukulGupta(2012),"ANewMethodofLoad−FlowSolution of Radial Distribution Networks", International Journal of Electronics andCommunicationEngineering, Volume5,Number1, pp.9-22,2012.

[32] J. A. Michline Rupa and S. Ganesh ," Power Flow Analysis for Radial DistributionSystemUsingBackward/ForwardSweepMethod",WorldAcademyofScience,EngineeringandTechnologyInternationalJournalofElectricalandComputerEngineeringVol:8,No:10,2014.

[33] B.Muruganantham,R.GnanadassandN.P.Padhy,"Performanceanalysisandcomparisonofloadflowmethods inapracticaldistributionsystem", IEEE,2016.

[34] V. Kumar, Shubham Swapnil , R. Ranjan and V. R. Singh," Improved Algorithm forLoad Flow Analysis of Radial Distribution System", Indian Journal of Science andTechnology,Vol10,2017.

[35] M. Kumari, S. Swapnil, R. Ranjan and V. R. Singh ," Weather sensitive load flowanalysisofradialdistributionsystem",WSEASTRANSACTIONSonPOWERSYSTEMS, Volume13,2018.

[36] Michell Quintero-Duran , John E. Candelo and Jose Soto-Ortiz (2019)," A modifiedbackward/forward sweep-based method for reconfiguration of unbalanced distributionnetworks",International Journal of Electrical and ComputerEngineering (IJECE)Vol.9, pp. 85-101,2019.

[37] Saad Ouali and AbdeljabbarCherkaoui ," An Improved Backward/Forward SweepPower Flow Method Based on a New Network Information Organization for RadialDistributionSystems",HindawiJournalofElectricalandComputerEngineering Volume2020,pp.1-11,2020.

[38] ShamteKawambwa, RukiaMwifunyi, DaudiMnyanghwalo, NdyetaburaHamisi, EllenKalingaandNereyMvungi,"Animprovedbackward/forwardsweeppowerfowmethodbasedon networktreedepthfor radialdistributionsystems",JournalofElectricalSystemsandInformationTechnology,2021.

[39] Wei-Tzer Huang,Shiuan-Tai Chen ," Sequential Three-Phase Power Flow Calculationfor Radial Distribution Systems via Three-Phase Z-Bus Distribution Factor", FourthInternationalConferenceonInnovativeComputing,InformationandControl(ICICIC),2009.

[40] FeiFengandPengZhang,"ImplicitZbusGaussAlgorithmRevisited",IEEETransactionsonPower Systems,2020.

[41] Lin W M and Teng J H , "Three Phase Distribution Network Fast Decoupled PowerFlowSolutions", Elect.PowerandEnergySyst.,Vol.22,pp. 375-380,2000.

[42] Teng J H and Chang C Y , "A Novel and Fast Three Phase Load Flow for UnbalanceRadial Distribution Systems", IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 1238-1244,2000.

[43] AravindhababuP,"ANewFastDecoupledPowerFlowMethodforDistributionSystems",Electric PowerComponentsandSystems,Vol.31,No.9,pp.869-878,2003.

[44] Tebbakh Noureddine, Labed Djamel", Load flow analysis using Newton-

Raphsonmethodinpresenceofdistributedgeneration,"InternationalJournalofPowerElectronics andDriveSystems(IJPEDS),Vol.12,No.1,Mar2021,pp.489~498,ISSN:2088-8694,DOI:10.11591/ijpeds.v12.i1.pp489-49.

[45] B. Borkowska, Probabilistic load flow, IEEE Trans. Power Appar. Syst. (1974) 752–759.

[46] P.Chen, Z. Chen, B. Bak-Jensen, Probabilistic load flow: a review.3rd Int ConfElectrUtilDeregulRestructPowerTechnol,2008,pp.91–1586.

[47] U.H. Ramadhani, M. Shepero, J. Munkhammar, J. Wid´en, N. Etherden, Review ofprobabilistic load flow approaches for power distribution systems with photovoltaicgeneration and electric vehicle charging, Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst. 120 (2020)106003.

[48] Das D, Ghosh S and Srinivas D K , "Fuzzy Distribution Load Flow", ElectricMachines PowerSystems,Vol.27,No.11,pp.1215-1226,1999.

[49] DasB,"RadialDistributionSystemPowerFlowUsingIntervalArithmetic",ElectricalPower andEnergySystems,Vol.24,No.10,pp.827-836,2002.

[50] Das D , "ANoniterative Load FlowAlgorithm for Radial Distribution Networks UsingFuzzySetApproachandIntervalArithmetic",ElectricPowerComponentsandSystems,Vol. 33,No. 1,pp. 59-72,2005.

[51] BiswarupDas,"ConsiderationofInputParameter Uncertaintiesin Load FlowSolution ofThree-PhaseUnbalancedRadialDistributionSys"temPowerSystems,Volume:21,pp.1088-1095,2006.

[52] Bijwe P R and Viswaanadha Raju G K , "Fuzzy Distribution Power Flow for WeaklyMeshedSystems",IEEETrans.onPowerSystems,Vol.21,No.4,pp.1645-1652,2006.

[53] Dharmasa ,Puppala A. K , C. Radhakrishna and HS Jain ," New Load Flow Methodfor Three Phase Radial Distribution Networks With Data Uncertainties", InternationalJournalofEmergingTrends inElectricalandElectronics,Vol.11,2009.

[54] M. Aien, M. Rashidinejad, M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad, On possibilistic and probabilisticuncertainty assessment of power flow problem: a review and a new approach, Renew.Sustain.EnergyRev.37(2014)883–895.

[55] W.El-Khattam,Y.G.Hegazy,andM.M.A.Salama,"Investigatingdistributedgenerationsystemsperfor manceusing Monte Carlo simulation,"IEEE Trans.onPowerSystems,vol.21,no. 2, pp.524-532,May2006.

[56] R.Cao,J.Xing,B.Sui,H.Ma,Animprovedintegratedcumulantmethodbyprobability distributionpre-identificationinpowersystemwithwindgeneration,IEEEAccess(2021)27.

[57] X. Zhang, Z. Guo, W. Chen, Probabilistic power flow method considering continuousanddiscretevariables, Energies19(2017)590.

[58] P.Amid,C.Crawford,A cumulant-tensor-basedprobabilistic loadflow method,IEEETrans. PowerSyst.33(5)(2018)5648–5656.

[59] E. Rosenblueth, Point estimates for probability moments, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA72(10)(1975)3812–3814.

[60] E.Rosenblueth,Two-pointestimatesinprobabilities,Appl.Math.Model.5(5)(1981)329–335.

[61] J.M. Morales, J. Perez-Ruiz, Point estimate schemes to solve the probabilistic powerflow,IEEETrans.PowerSyst.22(4)(2007)1594–1601.

[62] M.Aien, M.Rashidinejad,M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad, On possibilistic and probabilisticuncertainty assessment of power flow problem: a review and a new approach, Renew.Sustain.EnergyRev.37(2014)883–895.

[63] M. Aien, M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad, F. Aminifar, Probabilistic load flow incorrelateduncertain environment using unscented transformation, IEEE Trans. Power Syst. 27(4)(2012)2233–2241.

[64] M.Aien,M. Rashidinejad,M.F. Firaz-abad, Probabilistic optimal powerflow incorrelated hybrid wind-PV power systems: a review and a new approach, Renew.Sustain.EnergyRev.41(2015)1437–1446.

[65] LuoGXandSemlyenA,"EfficientLoadFlowforLargeWeaklyMeshedNetworks",IEEETrans.PowerSystems,Vol.5, pp.1309-1316,1990.

[66] Chen T H, Chen M S, Inoue T, Kotas P and Chebli E A (1991), "Three Phase Co-Generator and Transformer Models for Distribution System Analysis", IEEE Trans.PowerDelivery,Vol.6,No.4,pp. 1671-1681,1991.

[67] Cheng C S and ShirmohammadiD , "A Three Phase Power Flow Method for RealTime Distribution System Analysis", IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp.671-679,1995.

[68] Haque M H , "A General Load Flow Method for Distribution Systems", ElectricPowerSystemsResearch,Vol.54, pp. 47-54,2000.

[69] Zhu Y and Tomsovic K , "Adaptive Power Flow Method for Distribution Systemswith Dispersed Generation", IEEE Trans. Power Delivery, Vol. 17, No. 3, pp. 822-827,2002.

[70] GarciaPAN,PereiraJLR,CarneiroSJr.,Vinagre MPandGomesFV ,"Improvements in the Representation of PV Buses on Three Phase Distribution PowerFlow",IEEETrans.PowerSystems,Vol.19,No.2, pp.894-896,2004.

[71] Rao P S N and Deekshit R S , "Radial Load Flow for Systems Having DistributedGeneration and Controlled Q Sources", Electric PowerComponents and Systems,Vol.33,No. 6, pp.641-655,2005.

[72] Tong S and MiuK , "A Network Based Distributed Slack Bus Model For DGs inUnbalanced Power Flow Studies", IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp.835-842,2005.

[73] Xiao-Ping Zhang," Continuation Power Flow in Distribution System Analysis" IEEEPES PowerSystemsConferenceandExposition,2006.

[74] K. Vinoth kumar and M.P. Selvan (2009)," Planning and Operation of DistributedGenerations in Distribution Systems for Improved Voltage Profile", IEEE/PES PowerSystems ConferenceandExposition,.2009

[75] M.H.Moradi,M.AbedinieandH.bagheritolabi,"OptimalMulti-DistributedGeneration Location and Capacity by Genetic Algorithms", Conference ProceedingsIPEC,.2010

[76] FahadS.Abu-MoutiandM.E.El-Hawary,"OptimalDistributedGenerationAllocationandSizinginDistributionSystemsviaArtificialBeeColonyAlgorithm", IEEETRANSACTIONSONPOWERDELIVERY,VOL.26,NO.4,.2011

[77] S.DeviaandM.Geethanjali,"ApplicationofModifiedBacterialForagingOptimization algorithm for optimal placement and sizing of Distributed Generation",ExpertSystemswithApplications,2013.

[78] MohanNa,AnanthapadmanabhaTandADKulkarnib,"AWeightedMulti-ObjectiveIndexBasedOptimalDistributedGenerationPlanninginDistributionSystem",SMARTGRIDTechnologies,ProcediaTechnology21,pp.279–286,2015.

[79] K.Divya and S.Srinivasan ," Optimal Siting and Sizing of DG in Radial DistributionSystemandIdentifyingFaultLocationinDistributionSystemIntegratedwithDistributed Generation", 3rd International Conference on Advanced Computing andCommunicationSystems,2016.

[80] R. Sanjay, T. Jayabarathi, T. Raghunathan, V. Ramesh and Nadarajah Mithulananthan(2017),"OptimalAllocationOf DistributedGenerationUsingHybridGrey WolfOptimizer", IEEEAccess(Volume-5),.2017

[81] Ashok kumarLakumandVasundhara Mahajan," Optimal placement and sizing ofmultiple active power filters in radial distribution system using grey wolf optimizer inpresence of nonlinear distributed generation", Electric Power Systems Research 173,2019.

[82] KolaSampangiSambaiahandTJayabarathi ,"Optimal AllocationofRenewableDistributedGenerationandCapacitorBanksinDistributionSystemsusingSalpSwarm Algorithm", International Journal of Renewable Energy Research,volume9,2019.

[83] Sajjan Kumar , Kamal K. Mandal and Niladri Chakraborty," Optimal DG placementbymulti-objectiveoppositionbasedchaoticdifferentialevolutionfortechno-economicanalysis",AppliedSoftComputingJournal78,2019.

[84] M. Kumari, V. R. Singh and R. Ranjan, "Optimal Selection of Conductor in RDSConsideringWeatherCondition," *2018InternationalConferenceonComputing,PowerandCommunicationTechnologies(GUCON)*,2018,pp.647-651,doi:10.1109/GUCON.2018.8675051.

[85] Kumari, M., Singh, V.R., Ranjan, R. "Multi-objective Planning of Rural DistributionSystem Using PSO", Communications inComputer and InformationScience, vol922.Springer,Singapore.https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1718-1_10

[86] M.Kumari,R.Ranjan,V.Singh,andS.Swapnil,"OptimalPowerDistributionPlanning Using Improved Particle Swarm Optimization", Int J Intell Syst Appl Eng,vol.6, no. 3,pp. 170–177, Sep. 2018.

[87] MeenaKumari,VedR.Singh,RakeshRanjan,andShubhamSwapnil,"Multi-ObjectivePlanningforContingencyAnalysisandFutureExpansionofRadialDistributionSystem" International Journal of Powerand Energy Systems, Vol. 38,No.4, pp-132-143,2018.

[88] Noor RopidahBujal, Aida Fazliana Abdul Kadir, Marizan Sulaiman, Sulastri Manap,MohamadFaniSulaima,"FireflyanalyticalhierarchyalgorithmforoptimalallocationandsizingofDGindistributionnetwork",nternationalJournalofPower ElectronicsandDriveSystems(IJPEDS),Vol.13,No.3,September2021,pp.1419~1429,ISSN:2088-8694, DOI:10.11591/ijpeds.v13.i3.pp1419-1429.

[89] SalemMR,TalatLAandSolimanHM,"VoltageControlby TapChangingTransformers for a Radial Distribution Network", IEE Proc. Genr. Trans. Distri., Vol.144,No.6,pp. 517-520,1997.

[90] Garcia P A N, Periera J L R and CarneriroS , "Voltage Control Devices Models forDistribution Power Flow Analysis", IEEE Trans. Power Systems, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp.586-

594,2001.

[91] Tripathy P, Singh S N and Srivastava S C , "Power Flow Analysis with OptimallyPlacedD-STATCOMinDistributionSystem",Proc.NationalPowerSystemsConference(NPSC), Vol. 2, pp. 128-133,2004.

[92] Yan P and Arun Sekar , "Analysis of Radial Distribution Systems with EmbeddedSeries Facts Devices Using a Fast Line Flow Based Algorithm", IEEE Trans. PowerDelivery,Vol.20, No.4,pp. 1775-1782,2005.

[93] G.N. Sreenivas and T. Giribabu," Application of Series Facts Devices to a RadialDistribution System and Analysis using a line Flow-based Algorithm", Journal onElectricalEngineeringVol. 3,No.3,2010.

[94] S. Manikandan ,Dr.S.Sasitharan and Dr J.Viswanatha Rao ," Analysis of OptimalAVRPlacementinRadialDistributionSystemsusingDiscreteParticleSwarmOptimization",InnovativeSystemsDesignandEngineering,Vol3,2012.

[95] S M Suhail Hussain and M Subbaramiah ," An Analytical Approach for OptimalLocationOfDSTATCOMInRadialDistributionSystem",IEEEConference,2013.

[96] Shiva Pujan Jaiswal and Vivek Shrivastava ," Allocation of UPFC in DistributionSystemtoMinimizetheLosses",InternationalConferenceonInnovativeApplications of Computational Intelligence on Power, Energy and Controls with theirImpactonHumanity(CIPECH14),2014.

[97] K.R. Devabalaji , K. Ravi ," Optimal size and siting of multiple DG and DSTATCOMin radial distribution system using Bacterial Foraging Optimization Algorithm", AinShamsEngineeringJournal,pp. 959–971, 2015.

[98] Joseph Sanam, Sanjib Ganguly, A. K. Panda and DamodarPanigrahy ," Forecasting ofAELCandTESCofDistributionSystemswiththeOptimalAllocationofDSTATCOM",IEEEInnovativeSmartGridTechnologies-Asia(ISGT-Asia)Melbourne,Australia,2016.

[99] Waleed Fadel, UlasKilic and SezaiTaskin," Placement of Dg, Cb, and Tcsc in radialdistributionsystemforpowerlossminimizationusingback-trackingsearchalgorithm",ElectrEng,pp.791–802,2017.

[100] VeeraVenkataSatyaNarayanaMurtyandAshwaniKumar,"ImpactofD-STATCOM in distribution systems with load growth on stability margin enhancementand energy savings using PSO and GAMS",Int Trans Electrical Energy System,2018.

[101] Aadesh Kumar Arya1 ,Ashwani Kumar1 and Saurabh Chanana(2019)," Analysis ofDistributionSystemwithD-STATCOMbyGravitationalSearchAlgorithm(GSA)",J.Inst.Eng.IndiaSer.B,pp.207–215,June2019.

[102] Vishwanath G, Dr. A D Kulkarni and Dr. Mohan N," Weighted Multi Objective IndexbasedPlacementofPowerQualityDisturbanceMitigatingDevicesinDGEnvironment",InternationalJournalofElectricalEngineeringandTechnology(IJEET)Volume11,pp. 111-122,2020.

[103] Rakesh Ranjan &Das ," Simple and Efficient Computer Algorithm to Solve RadialDistributionNetworks",ElectricPowerComponentsandSystems,2003.