

AN INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SOFT IDEALS OF HEMIRING

K. Geetha

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Periyar University, Salem –11

[Email: kgeetaganesh@gmail.com](mailto:kgeetaganesh@gmail.com)

Dr. N. Anitha

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Periyar University PG Extension Centre,
Dharmapuri- 636701, [Email: anithapupgec@periyaruniversity.ac.in](mailto:anithapupgec@periyaruniversity.ac.in).

ABSTRACT

This analysis work explored the investigation of (IL-FSI) of a Hemiring \mathbb{R} . The motivation behind the study is to present the idea of strongest Intuitionistic Fuzzy set (IFS) with L-Fuzzy soft of Hemiring \mathbb{R} and develop specific outcome on these. We in like manner made an undertaking to consider some related properties are implemented while analyzing the results of IL-FSI of Hemiring \mathbb{R} . Finally category theory under morphisms are specified.

2000 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 05C38, 15A15, 05A15, 15A18.

Keywords : Fuzzy soft set, L-fuzzy set, IL-FSI, Strongest Intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft set.

1 Introduction:

The fuzzification of algebraic shape play a prominent function in arithmetic with huge programs in lots of other branches which consists of manipulate engineering, records, sciences, coding concept and so on. A. Zadeh [32] in 1965, presented fuzzy sets(FS) and because of the development made in the concept of uncertainty, motivated Lotfi A. Zadeh to introduce a concept where in items of FSs with boundary that are inadequacy. The membership in a FS seems to be a notable deal of affirmation or denial than a rely of degree. This progressive technique is carried out more exactly in all kinds of disciplines to resolve a number of problems.

Further Maji et al [18-20] and Goguen [13] projected the concepts of FS with soft set and L-fuzzy set. In 1983, Atanassov [6] presented the Intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) as a induction of FS, which is an inspiration of many researchers to work on semirings from abstract algebra with IFS[10],[15-16],[28-29]. Henriksen [14] characterized a restricted form of ideals in semirings with commutative addition. In this analysis we refer significant results observed from ideals[2-3],[7],[30-31]. Iizuka established his philosophies on the Jacobson radical of a semiring.

Hemiring as semiring with additively commutative monoid with zero, seem in a normal way in applications involved in the philosophy of automata and formal language [1]. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the algebraic shape of Intuitionistic fuzzy soft set(IFSS) with some natural classification of IL-FSIs. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the algebraic shape of Intuitionistic fuzzy soft set(IFSS) with some natural classification of IL-FSIs for the

corresponding hemiring . Here we put in force the concept of strongest Intuitionistic L- Fuzzy soft set relations homomorphic[23] pre image and its related properties are analysed

2 Preliminaries : In this section we list some prerequisites for our research work.

Definition 2.1 ([02]) A non-void set \mathbb{R} on which operations satisfied addition and multiplication have been fulfill the following conditions are called hemiring.

- (i) $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ is a semigroup and commutative monoid with identity element zero,
- (ii) (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) is a semigroup,
- (iii) $(c + d) \cdot k = c \cdot k + d \cdot k$ and $c \cdot (d + k) = c \cdot d + c \cdot k$, for every $c, d, k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example 2.2 $(Z, +, \cdot)$ is a hemiring under the usual addition and multiplication, some place Z is the set of all integers.

Definition 2.3 ([03]) A non-exhaust subset A of a hemiring $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ is recognized as a subhemiring if it contains 0 and is closed under the operation of addition and multiplication in \mathbb{R} .

Definition 2.4 ([03]) Let $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ and $(\mathbb{R}', +, \cdot)$ be whichever two hemirings. At that point $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}'$ is known as a **hemiring homomorphism** if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\psi (h+k) = \psi (h) + \psi (k)$,
- (ii) $\psi (hk) = \psi (h) \psi (k)$, for all h and k in \mathbb{R} .

Example 2.5 Let $\mathbb{R} = \{ m + n\sqrt{2} / m, n \in Z \}$ is a hemiring under two binary operation. Then $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}'$ by $\psi (m + n\sqrt{2}) = m - n\sqrt{2}$ is hemiring homomorphism, everywhere Z is the set of all integers.

Definition 2.6 ([02]) A subhemiring S of a hemiring $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ is said to be a **characteristic subhemiring** of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ if $\psi (S) \subset S$, for every automorphism ψ of \mathbb{R} .

Definition 2.7 Let Y be a non-empty set. A **fuzzy subset** H of Y is $\mathbb{H} : Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.8 ([18]) A pair (K,G) is identified as a soft set $\Leftrightarrow G$ is a function K in to these to fall sub set of the set U

Example 2.9 suppose U is the set of five Laptops under consideration. Here $U = \{ T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4 , T_5 \}$ and $K = \{ p_1 (\text{good looking}) , p_2 (\text{quality}), p_3 (\text{storage space}) , p_4 (\text{modern technology}), p_5 (\text{price}) \}$ be the set of parameters.

Here the Table represents how the person choosing the Laptop.

$$(K, G) = \{ P_1(T_1, T_4) , P_2(T_2, T_5) , P_3(T_2, T_4) , P_4(T_1, T_3) , P_5(T_3, T_5) \}$$

Laptop	good looking	Quality	Storage space	Modern technology	price
T ₁	1	0	0	1	0
T ₂	0	1	1	0	0

T ₃	0	0	0	1	1
T ₄	1	0	1	0	0
T ₅	0	1	0	0	1

Definition 2.10 ([11]) Let (G, K) be a soft universe and $B \subseteq K$. Let $\mathcal{F}(G)$ be the arrangement of all fuzzy subsets in G . A couple (\tilde{F}, B) is known as a fuzzy soft set over U , where \tilde{F} , is a mapping specified as a result of $\tilde{F} : B \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(G)$.

Example 2.11 Let fuzzy soft set (S, H) portray attractiveness of the shirts by means of esteem to the specified constraint which the person behind are obtain able to wear $X = \{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5\}$ which is the set of all shirts under consideration. Let I^X be the gathering of all fuzzy subsets of X also

Let $K = \{k_1 = \text{“colourful”}, k_2 = \text{“bright”}, k_3 = \text{“cheap”}, k_4 = \text{“warm”}\}$.

Let $\Psi(k_1) = n_1/0.5, n_2/0.9, n_3/0, n_4/0.1, n_5/0.2$

$\Psi(k_2) = n_1/1.0, n_2/0.8, n_3/0.7, n_4/0.3, n_5/0.4$

$\Psi(k_3) = n_1/0.1, n_2/0.5, n_3/0.3, n_4/0.6, n_5/0.9$

$\Psi(k_4) = n_1/0.2, n_2/1.0, n_3/0.8, n_4/0.5, n_5/0.3$

Then the family $\{\Psi(k_j), j = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ of I^X is a fuzzy soft set (S, H) .

Definition 2.12 Let Y be a non-empty set and $L = (L, \leq)$ be a lattice with least element 0 and greatest element 1 .

Definition 2.13 Let $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ be a hemiring. A L -fuzzy soft subset (S, H) of \mathbb{R} is supposed to be a L -fuzzy soft subhemiring (LFSSHR) of \mathbb{R} if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\}$,

(ii) $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} \cdot v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\}$,

for every $u_{(S, H)}$ and $v_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R} .

Example 2.14 Let $R = A = \mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Then Consider $F: R \rightarrow \wp(R)$ given by $F(x) = \{y \in R, x \cdot y = 0\}$ Then $F(0) = R, F(1) = \{0\}, F(2) = \{0, 3\}, F(3) = \{0, 2, 4\}, F(4) = \{0, 3\}$ and $F(5) = \{0\}$. All these sets are subhemirings of R . Therefore (S, H) is a soft subhemiring over \mathbb{R} .

Definition 2.15 Let \mathbb{R} be a hemiring. An IL-FS subset (S, H) of \mathbb{R} is said to be an IL-FSI of \mathbb{R} if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\}$,

(ii) $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} \cdot v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\}$,

(iii) $\nu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \leq \{\nu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \vee \nu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\}$,

(iv) $\nu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} \cdot v_{(S, H)}) \leq \{\nu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \vee \nu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\}$, for all $u_{(S, H)}$ and $v_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R} .

Definition 2.16 ([17]) Let (S, H) and (R, D) sbe IL-FS subsets of sets G and H , correspondingly. Then $(S, H) \times (R, D) = \{ \langle (u_{(S, H)}, v_{(R, D)}) \rangle, \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, v_{(R, D)}), \nu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, v_{(R, D)}) \}$ For every $u_{(S, H)}$ in G and $v_{(R, D)}$ in H , Where

$\mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, v_{(R, D)}) = \{\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(R, D)}(v_{(R, D)})\}$ and

$\nu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, v_{(R, D)}) = \{\nu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \vee \nu_{(R, D)}(v_{(R, D)})\}$.

3 PROPERTIES OF IL-FSI OF HEMIRING

The approach of IL-FSIs of hemiring \mathbb{R} are discussed below.

Theorem 3.1 The \cap of any two IL-FSI of a hemiring $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ is an IL-FSI of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$

Proof: Let us assume that (S, H) and (R, D) be any two IL-FSI of \mathbb{R} and Let u and v in \mathbb{R} .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } (S, H) &= \{ (u_{(S,H)}, {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}), \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)})) / u_{(S,H)} \in \mathbb{R} \}, \\ (R, D) &= \{ (u_{(R,D)}, {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}), \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)})) / u_{(R,D)} \in \mathbb{R} \} \text{ and also} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } (S, T) &= (S, H) \cap (R, D) \\ &= \{ (u_{(S,T)}, {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}), \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)})) / u_{(S,T)} \in \mathbb{R} \}, \text{ where} \\ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) &= \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \} \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{At present, } &{}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} + v_{(S,T)}) \\ &= \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)} + v_{(R,D)}) \} \\ &\geq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}. \\ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} + v_{(S,T)}) &\geq \{ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}, \text{ for all } u_{(S,E)} \text{ and } v_{(S,T)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}. \text{ And,} \\ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} v_{(S,T)}) &= \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)} v_{(R,D)}) \} \\ &\geq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &\geq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}. \\ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} v_{(S,T)}) &\geq \{ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}, \text{ for all } u_{(S,E)} \text{ and } v_{(S,E)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}. \text{ Also,} \\ \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} + v_{(S,T)}) &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)} + v_{(R,D)}) \} \\ &\leq \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &\leq \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} + v_{(S,T)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}, \text{ for all } u_{(S,T)} \text{ and } v_{(S,T)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } &\mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} v_{(S,T)}) \\ &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)} v_{(R,D)}) \} \\ &\leq \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \wedge \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &\leq \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} v_{(S,T)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}, \text{ for every } u_{(S,T)} \text{ and } v_{(S,T)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.$$

Thusly (S, T) is an IL-FSI of a \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 3.2 Let $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ be a hemiring. The \cap of a family of IL-FSIs of \mathbb{R} is an IL-FSIs of \mathbb{R} .

Proof: Given as a chance consider $\{(L, O) \mid i \in I\}$ be a family of IL-FSIs of a $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$.

Let ${}^p_{(S,H)} = \prod_{i \in I} (K, G)$ Let $u_{(S,H)}$ and $v_{(S,H)}$ in \mathbb{R} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) &= \inf_{i \in I} {}^p_{(S,H)i}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) \\ &\geq \inf_{i \in I} \{ {}^p_{(S,H)i}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H)i}(v_{(S,H)}) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \{ \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_{(S, H) i} (u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_{(S, H) i} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (v_{(S, H)}) \}.$$

Thusly, $\mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$, for each $u_{(S, H)}$ and $v_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R} .

$$\mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) = \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_{(S, H) i} (u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)})$$

$$\geq \inf_{i \in I} \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S, H) i} (u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S, H) i} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$$

$$\geq \{ \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_{(S, H) i} (u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_{(S, H) i} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (v_{(S, H)}) \}.$$

Thusly, $\mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$, for each $u_{(S, H)}$ and $v_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R} .

$$\text{Also, } \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) = \sup_{i \in I} \mathcal{U}_{(S, H) i} (u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)})$$

$$\leq \sup_{i \in I} \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H) i} (u_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H) i} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$$

$$= \{ \sup_{i \in I} \mathcal{U}_{(L, O) i} (u_{(S, H)}) \vee \sup_{i \in I} \mathcal{U}_{(L, O) i} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (v_{(S, H)}) \}.$$

Thusly, $\mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \leq \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$, for each $u_{(S, H)}$ and $v_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R} .

$$\text{And, } \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) = \sup_{i \in I} \mathcal{U}_{(S, H) i} (u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)})$$

$$\leq \sup_{i \in I} \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H) i} (u_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H) i} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$$

$$\leq \{ \sup_{i \in I} \mathcal{U}_{(S, H) i} (u_{(S, H)}) \vee \sup_{i \in I} \mathcal{U}_{(S, H) i} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (v_{(S, H)}) \}.$$

Thusly, $\mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) \leq \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)} (v_{(S, H)}) \}$, for each $u_{(S, H)}$ and $v_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R} . So, (S, H) is an IL-FSI of a $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$.

4 AN IL-FSIs OF $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ UNDER HOMOMORPHISM AND ANTI-HOMOMORPHISM

Using some additional properties of IL-FSIs of hemiring \mathbb{R}_1 and \mathbb{R}_2 under homomorphism and anti-homomorphism the theorems are explained in the following way.

Theorem 4.1 If (S, H) and (R, D) be IL-FSI of \mathbb{R}_1 and \mathbb{R}_2 respectively, then $(S, H) \times (R, D)$ is an IL-FSI of $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$.

Proof: Let (S, H) and (R, D) be two IL-FSI of \mathbb{R}_1 and \mathbb{R}_2 correspondingly. Let $u_{(S, H)1}$ and $u_{(S, H)2}$ be in \mathbb{R}_1 , $v_{(R, D)1}$ and $v_{(R, D)2}$ be in \mathbb{R}_2 . Then $(u_{(S, H)1}, v_{(R, D)1})$ and $(u_{(S, H)2}, v_{(R, D)2})$ are in $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$.

At present,

$$\mathcal{P}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [(u_{(S, H)1}, v_{(R, D)1}) + (u_{(S, H)2}, v_{(R, D)2})]$$

$$= \mathcal{P}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} (u_{(S, H)1} + u_{(S, H)2}, v_{(R, D)1} + v_{(R, D)2})$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)1} + u_{(S, H)2}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(R, D)} (v_{(R, D)1} + v_{(R, D)2}) \}$$

$$\geq \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)1}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S, H)} (u_{(S, H)2}) \} \wedge \{ \mathcal{P}_{(R, D)} (v_{(R, D)1}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(R, D)} (v_{(R, D)2}) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_1)) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_1)) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_2)) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_2)) \} \}$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2)) \}.$$

Now ${}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)} [(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) + (u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2))]$

$$\geq \{ {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2)) \}.$$

Also ${}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)} [(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1))(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2))]$

$$= {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_1)u(s, H_2), v(r, D_1)v(r, D_2))$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_1)u(s, H_2)) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_1)v(r, D_2)) \}$$

$$\geq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_1)) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_2)) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_1)) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_2)) \} \}$$

$$\geq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_1)) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_1)) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_2)) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_2)) \} \}$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2)) \}.$$

$${}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)} [(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1))(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2))]$$

$$\geq \{ {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2)) \}.$$

And ${}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)} [(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) + (u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2))]$

$$= {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_1) + u(s, H_2), v(r, D_1) + v(r, D_2))$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_1) + u(s, H_2)) \vee {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_1) + v(r, D_2)) \}$$

$$\leq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_1)) \vee {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_2)) \} \vee \{ {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_1)) \vee {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_2)) \} \}$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) \vee {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2)) \}.$$

Thusly, ${}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)} [(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) + (u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2))]$

$$\leq \{ {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) \vee {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2)) \}.$$

Also, ${}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)} [(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1))(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2))]$

$$= {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_1)u(s, H_2), v(r, D_1)v(r, D_2))$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_1)u(s, H_2)) \vee {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_1)v(r, D_2)) \}$$

$$\leq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_1)) \vee {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_2)) \} \vee \{ {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_1)) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_2)) \} \}$$

$$\leq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_1)) \vee {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_1)) \} \vee \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H_2)) \vee {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D_2)) \} \}$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) \vee {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2)) \}.$$

Thusly, ${}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)} [(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1))(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2))]$

$$\leq \{ {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_1), v(r, D_1)) \vee {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u(s, H_2), v(r, D_2)) \}.$$

Therefore, $(S, H) \times (R, D)$ is an IL-FSI of hemiring of $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$.

Theorem 4.2 Let (S, H) and (R, D) be IL-FSI of \mathbb{R}_1 and \mathbb{R}_2 correspondingly. Say that i' and i'' are the identity element of \mathbb{R}_1 and \mathbb{R}_2 respectively. If $(S, H) \times (R, D)$ is an IL-FSI of $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$, then at least one of the following two statements must hold.

(i) ${}^p_{(S,H)}(i''(R, D)) \geq {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H))$ and ${}^p_{(S,H)}(i''(R, D)) \leq {}^p_{(S,H)}(u(s, H))$, for all $u(s, H)$ in \mathbb{R}_1 ,

(ii) ${}^p_{(S,H)}(i'(S, H)) \geq {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D))$ and ${}^p_{(S,H)}(i'(S, H)) \leq {}^p_{(R,D)}(v(r, D))$, for all $v(r, D)$ in \mathbb{R}_2 .

Proof: Let $(S, H) \times (R, D)$ be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy ideal of $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$. By contraposition, Assume that none of the statements (i) and (ii) holds. Then we can find a in \mathbb{R}_1 and b in \mathbb{R}_2

$$\text{such that } {}^p_{(S,H)}(a(s, H)) > {}^p_{(R,D)}(i''(R, D)), \quad {}^p_{(S,H)}(a(s, H)) < {}^p_{(R,D)}(i''(R, D)) \text{ and}$$

$${}^p_{(R,D)}(b(r, D)) > {}^p_{(S,H)}(i'(S, H)), \quad {}^p_{(R,D)}(b(r, D)) < {}^p_{(S,H)}(i'(S, H)).$$

$${}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(a(s, H), b(r, D)) = \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(a(s, H)) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(b(r, D)) \} > \{ {}^p_{(R,D)}(i''(R, D)) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H)}(i'(S, H)) \}$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(i'(S, H)) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(i''(R, D)) \}$$

$$= {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(i'(S, H), i''(R, D)). \text{ And } {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(a(s, H), b(r, D))$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(a(s, H)) \vee {}^p_{(R,D)}(b(r, D)) \} < \{ {}^p_{(R,D)}(i''(R, D)) \vee {}^p_{(S,H)}(i'(S, H)) \}$$

$$= {}^p_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(i'(S, H), i''(R, D)).$$

Thus $(S, H) \times (R, D)$ is not an IL-FSI of $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$.

Therefore either $\mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D)) \geq \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)})$ and

$$\mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D)) \leq \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}), \text{ for all } u_{(S, H)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_1 \text{ or}$$

$$\mu_{(S, H)}(i'(S, H)) \geq \mu_{(R, D)}(v_{(R, D)}) \text{ and } \mu_{(S, H)}(i'(S, H)) \leq \mu_{(R, D)}(v_{(R, D)}), \text{ for all } v_{(R, D)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_2.$$

2.

Theorem 4.3 Let (S, H) and (R, D) be two Intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft subsets of the hemirings \mathbb{R}_1 and \mathbb{R}_2 correspondingly and $(S, H) \times (R, D)$ is an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft ideal of $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$. Then the following are true:

(i) if $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \leq \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D))$ and $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \geq \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D))$, then (S, H) is an IL-FSI of \mathbb{R}_1 .

(ii) if $\mu_{(R, D)}(v_{(R, D)}) \leq \mu_{(S, H)}(i'(S, H))$ and $\mu_{(R, D)}(v_{(R, D)}) \geq \mu_{(S, H)}(i'(S, H))$, then (R, D) is an IL-FSI of \mathbb{R}_2 .

(iii) either (S, H) is an IL-FSI of \mathbb{R}_1 or (R, D) is an IL-FSI of \mathbb{R}_2

Proof: Let $(S, H) \times (R, D)$ be an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft ideal of $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$ and u and v in \mathbb{R}_1 and i'' in \mathbb{R}_2 . Then $(u_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D))$ and $(v_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D))$ are in $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$.

At present, $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \leq \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D))$ and $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \geq \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D))$, for all $u_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R}_1 .

$$\mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) = \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D) + i''(R, D)) \}$$

$$= \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) + \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(v_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D))$$

$$\geq \{ \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) \wedge \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(v_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D)) \} \wedge \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D)) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \} \geq \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \}.$$

$$\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \}, \text{ for all } u_{(S, H)} \text{ and } v_{(S, H)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_1.$$

$$\text{Also, } \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) = \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D) i''(R, D)) \}$$

$$= \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}, (i''(R, D) i''(R, D)))$$

$$= \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(v_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D))$$

$$\geq \{ \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) \wedge \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(v_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D)) \} \wedge \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D)) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \}.$$

Thusly, $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \}$, for all $u_{(S, H)}$ and $v_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R}_1 .

$$\text{And, } \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) = \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \vee \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D) + i''(R, D)) \}$$

$$= \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}, (i''(R, D) + i''(R, D)))$$

$$= \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) + \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(v_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D))$$

$$\leq \{ \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) \vee \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(v_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \vee \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D)) \} \vee \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \vee \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D)) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \vee \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \}.$$

Thusly, $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \leq \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \vee \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \}$, for all $u_{(S, H)}$ and $v_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R}_1 .

$$\text{Also, } \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) = \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) \vee \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D) i''(R, D)) \}$$

$$= \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}, (i''(R, D) i''(R, D)))$$

$$= \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(v_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D))$$

$$\leq \{ \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) \vee \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(v_{(S, H)}, i''(R, D)) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \vee \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D)) \} \vee \{ \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)}) \vee \mu_{(R, D)}(i''(R, D)) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \}.$$

Thusly $\mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \}$, for all $\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R}_1 .

Consequently (S, H) is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft ideal of \mathbb{R}_1 . Thus (i) is proved.

At present ${}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \leq {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)})$ and

$\mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \geq \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)})$, for all $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$ in \mathbb{R}_2 ,

Let $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$ in \mathbb{R}_2 and $\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}$ in \mathbb{R}_1 .

Then $(\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)})$ and $(\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)})$ are in $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) &= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \} \\ &= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \\ &= {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ((\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}), (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)})) \\ &= {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [(\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) + (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \\ &= \{ \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \geq \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly, ${}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \geq \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \}$, for all $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$ in \mathbb{R}_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) &= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \} \\ &= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \\ &= {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ((\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}), (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)})) \\ &= {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [(\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)})] \\ &\geq \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \\ &= \{ \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \vee {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly, ${}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \geq \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \}$, for all $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$ in \mathbb{R}_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{And, } \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \\ &= \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [(\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) + (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)})] \\ &\leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \\ &= \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \} \end{aligned}$$

Thusly, $\mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \}$, for all $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$ in \mathbb{R}_2 . Also, $\mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) = \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \\ &= \mathbf{U}_{((S, H) \times (R, D))} [(\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)})] \\ &\leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \\ &= \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly, $\mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} (\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}) \}$, for all $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$ in \mathbb{R}_2 . In this manner (R, D) is an IL-FSI of a hemiring \mathbb{R}_2 . Thus (ii) is proved (iii) is clear.

5 IL-FSIS OF HEMIRING USING STRONGEST INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SOFT RELATION

In this section provides main results of **IL-FSIs of hemiring** are explained using strongest IL-FS set relation .

Theorem 5.1 Let (S, H) be an IL-FS subset of a $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ and (L, O) be the strongest IL-FS related to $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ of \mathbb{R} . So (S, H) is an IL-FSI of $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot) \Leftrightarrow (L, O)$ is an IL-FSI of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: Assume that (S, H) is an IL-FSI of a $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$.

Then for any

$$u_{(S, H)} = (u_{(S, H)1}, u_{(S, H)2}) \text{ and}$$

$$v_{(S, H)} = (v_{(S, H)1}, v_{(S, H)2}) \text{ are in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

We have, ${}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} + v_{(L, O)})$

$$= {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}[(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) + (v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})]$$

$$= {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1} + v_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2} + v_{(L, O)2})$$

$$\geq \{ \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2}) \}$$

$$= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}.$$

${}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} + v_{(L, O)}) \geq \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}$, for all $u_{(L, O)}$ and $v_{(L, O)}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. And,

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} v_{(L, O)}) = {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}[(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2})(v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})]$$

$$= {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1}v_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}v_{(L, O)2})$$

$$\geq \{ \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2}) \}$$

$$= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}.$$

Thusly, ${}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} v_{(L, O)}) \geq \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}$, for all $u_{(L, O)}$ and $v_{(L, O)}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Also we have, $\mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} + v_{(L, O)}) = \mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}[(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) + (v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})]$

$$= \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(L, O)1} + v_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2} + v_{(L, O)2})$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1} + v_{(S, H)1}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2} + v_{(S, H)2}) \}$$

$$\leq \{ \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \} \vee \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \} \vee \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} + v_{(L, O)}) \leq \{ \mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}$$
, for all $u_{(L, O)}$ and $v_{(L, O)}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

And, $\mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} v_{(L, O)}) = \mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}[(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2})(v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})]$

$$= \mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1}v_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}v_{(L, O)2})$$

$$\leq \{ \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \} \vee \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(L, O)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}.$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(L, O)} v_{(L, O)}) \leq \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(L, O)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(L, O)}), \text{ for all } u_{(L, O)} \text{ and } v_{(L, O)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

i.e) (L, O) is an IL-FSI of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Assume that (L, O) is an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft ideal of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, then

$$u = (u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) \text{ and } v = (v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2}) \text{ are in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$\{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1} + v_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2} + v_{(S, H)2}) \}$$

$$= {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1} + v_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2} + v_{(L, O)2})$$

$$= {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}[(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) + (v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})]$$

$$= {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} + v_{(L, O)}) \geq \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}$$

$$= \{ {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) \wedge {}^{\mathcal{P}}_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2}) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ {}^{p(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{p(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)2}) \wedge \{ {}^{p(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{p(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)2}) \} \} \}.$$

If we put $u_{(S,H)2} = v_{(S,H)2} = 0$, we get,

$${}^{p(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1} + v_{(S,H)1}) \geq \{ {}^{p(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}) \wedge (v_{(S,H)1}) \}, \text{ for all } u_{(S,H)1} \text{ and } v_{(S,H)1} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\text{And, } \{ {}^{p(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}v_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{p(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)2}v_{(S,H)2}) \}$$

$$= {}^{p(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)1}v_{(L,O)1}, {}^{p(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)2}v_{(L,O)2})$$

$$= {}^{p(L,O)}[(u_{(L,O)1}, u_{(L,O)2})(v_{(L,O)1}, v_{(L,O)2})]$$

$$= {}^{p(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)} \vee_{(L,O)}) \geq \{ {}^{p(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)}) \vee {}^{p(L,O)}(v_{(L,O)}) \}$$

$$= \{ {}^{p(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)1}, u_{(L,O)2}) \vee {}^{p(L,O)}(v_{(L,O)1}, v_{(L,O)2}) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ {}^{p(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{p(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)2}) \} \vee \{ {}^{p(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{p(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)2}) \} \}$$

If we put $u_{(S,H)2} = v_{(S,H)2} = 0$,

$$\text{we get } {}^{p(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}v_{(S,H)1}) \geq \{ {}^{p(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}) \vee {}^{p(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)1}) \},$$

For every $u_{(S,H)1}$ and $v_{(S,H)1}$ in \mathbb{R} . Also we have,

$$\max \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1} + v_{(S,H)1}), \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)2} + v_{(S,H)2}) \}$$

$$= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)1} + v_{(L,O)1}, u_{(L,O)2} + v_{(L,O)2})$$

$$= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}[(u_{(L,O)1}, u_{(L,O)2}) + (v_{(L,O)1}, v_{(L,O)2})]$$

$$= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)} + v_{(L,O)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(v_{(L,O)}) \}$$

$$= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)1}, u_{(L,O)2}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(v_{(L,O)1}, v_{(L,O)2}) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)2}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)2}) \} \}.$$

If we put $u_{(S,H)2} = v_{(S,H)2} = 0$, we get,

$$\mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1} + v_{(S,H)1}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)1}) \}, \text{ for all } u_{(S,H)1} \text{ and } v_{(S,H)1} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\text{And } \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}v_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)2}v_{(S,H)2}) \}$$

$$= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)1}v_{(L,O)1}, u_{(L,O)2}v_{(L,O)2})$$

$$= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}[(u_{(L,O)1}, u_{(L,O)2})(v_{(L,O)1}, v_{(L,O)2})]$$

$$= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)} \vee_{(L,O)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(v_{(L,O)}) \}$$

$$= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(u_{(L,O)1}, u_{(L,O)2}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)}(v_{(L,O)1}, v_{(L,O)2}) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)2}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)2}) \} \}.$$

If we put $u_{(S,H)2} = v_{(S,H)2} = 0$, we get, $\mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}v_{(S,H)1}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)1}) \}$, for all $u_{(S,H)1}$ and $v_{(S,H)1}$ in \mathbb{R} . In this way (S, H) is an IL-FSI of \mathbb{R} .

6 Conclusion

The principle thought of this examination work has been momentarily clarified and laid out the properties of IL-FS subhemiring of a hemiring and furthermore demonstrated hypotheses on morphism of soft subhemiring of a hemiring, in future unquestionably it fosters the investigation of standards of (Q,L)-fuzzy soft ideals of subhemiring and furthermore this system can be reached out to inter valued (Q,L)-FSSHR of a hemiring. We believe that this work will give significant impact on the approaching investigations in this field and other soft algebraic examination to open up new horizons of premium and headways.

Acknowledgement The author is exceedingly gratifying to the assessors for their precious implication supportive in convalescing this manuscript. This research work of the author was sustained by Periyar University.

Conflicts of interest The author declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Aho A.W and vuman, J.D “Intro.to automata theory”, *languages and computation Addison- wesley* 1978.
- [2] Akram . M and Dar . K.h. , On anti fuzzy left h- ideals in hemirings , *International Mathematical forum* ,Vol.2 , No.46 , 2295 – 2304 (2007).
- [3] Akram. M and Dar. K.h., Fuzzy left h- ideals in hemirings with respect to a s-norm, *International Journal of computational and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 2, No.1, 7-14 (2007).
- [4] Anitha.N and Latha.M, “A study on t-fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring”, *Asia Mathemaytika, Vol. 1, No.1, 1-6 (2017)*
- [5] Anitha.N, “Anti (s,q)-fuzzy subhemirings of a hemiring ”, *International Journal of Applied Research, Vol.1, No.11, 465-468(2015)*
- [6] Atanassov K.T, “Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets-Theory and Applications”, *Springer Verlag*, New York,(1999).
- [7] Banerjee.B and D.K.basnet, Intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and ideals, *Journal of fuzzy Mathematics* Vol.11, No.1, 139-155 (2003).
- [8] Biswas.R, “Fuzzy subgroups and Anti-fuzzy subgroups”, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 35,121- 124 (1990).
- [9] Biswas, R, Roy, A.R, “On Intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 3(4), (1997).
- [10] Chakrabarty, K., Biswas, R., Nanda, “A note on union and intersection of Intuitionistic fuzzy sets” ,*Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets* , 3(4), (1997).
- [11] Choudhury.F.P. and Chakraborty.A. B. and Khare.S.S., A note on fuzzy subgroups and fuzzy homomorphism, *Journal of Mathematical analysis and Applications*, Vol.131 ,No.2, 537 -553 (1988).
- [12] Gargov K., Atanassov.K., An intuitionistic fuzzy interpretation of the basic axopm of the resolution ,*Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets* ,Vol.2,No.3,6-7 (1996).
- [13] Goguen, J., L-fuzzy sets, *Journal Math. Anal. Appl.*, 18, 145- 174 (1967)
- [14] Henriksen.M., “ideals in Semiring with commutative addition”, *Amer,math.soc. notices*,1958,6(1):321
- [15] Hur.K, Kang.H.W and H.K.Song, “Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and subrings”, *Honam Math. J.* 25no.1, 19-41 (2001)
- [16] Kim. K.H., “On Intuitionistic Q- fuzzy semi prime ideals in semi groups”, *Advances in fuzzy Mathematics, Vol.1, No.1,15-21(2006)*.
- [17] Kumud Borgohain and Chittaranjan Gohain, “Some New operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets”, *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER), Vol.4,Issue 4(2014),65-68*.
- [18] Maji, P.K., R. Biswas and A.R. Roy, “Fuzzy soft sets”. *The J. Fuzzy Math.*, 9: 589-602.(2001).
- [19] Maji P.K, Roy A.R and Biswas.R, “An application of soft sets in a decision making problem”, *AnInternational Journal of Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 44 (2002), 1077–1083.
- [20] Maji P.K, Biswas.R and Roy “A.R, Soft set theory”, *An International Journal of*

Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 45 (2003), 555-562.

- [21] Molodtsov. D, "Soft set theory- first results," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 37, no. 4-5, pp. 19–31, 1999.
- [22] Palaniappan. N & Arjunan. K, "Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups" , *Acta Ciencia Indica*, Vol. XXXIII M. No.2, 321-328 (2007).
- [23] Palaniappan.N & Muthuraj.R, " The homomorphism, Anti-homomorphism of a fuzzy and an anti-fuzzy groups", *Varahmihir Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 4, No.2, 387-399 (2004).
- [24] Rajesh Kumar, Fuzzy irreducible ideals in rings, fuzzy sets and systems, Vol.4 No.3, 369-379 (1991).
- [25] Ratnabala Devi.O, On the intuitionistic Q-fuzzy ideals of near rings, Vol.3,No. 15, 25-32 (2009).
- [26] Sidky.F.I and Atif Mishref.M, "Fuzzy cosets and cyclic and Abelian fuzzy subgroups", *fuzzy sets and systems*, 43243-250 (1991).
- [27] Sivaramakrishna das.P, "Fuzzy groups and level subgroups", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 84, 264-269 (1981).
- [28] Tanushree Mitra Basu, Nirmal Kumar Mahapatra and Shyamal Kumar Mondal "On Some New Operations in Fuzzy Soft Set And Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Set Theory" IRACST - *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology & Security* (IJSITS), ISSN: 2249-9555 Vol. 2, No.2, A(2012).
- [29] Tariq Shah, Nasreen Kausar and Inayat-ur-Rehman."Intuitionistic Fuzzy Normal subrings over a non-associative ring" *An. S.t. Univ. Ovidius Constant,a* Vol. 20(1), (2012), 369–386
- [30] Wieslaw Dudek, Intuitionistic fuzzy h- ideals of hemirings , *Wseas Transactions on Mathematics* , Vol.12, 1315-1331(2006).
- [31] Xueling ma . Jianming zhan, On fuzzy h – ideals of hemirings, *Journal of systems science & complexity*, Vol.20 , 470 – 478(2007).
- [32] Zadeh L.A, "Fuzzy Sets", *Information and Control*, 8(1965), 33.