

## AN INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SOFT IDEALS OF HEMIRING

**K. Geetha**

Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Periyar University, Salem –11

[Email: kgeetaganesh@gmail.com](mailto:kgeetaganesh@gmail.com)

**Dr. N. Anitha**

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Periyar University PG Extension Centre,  
Dharmapuri- 636701.

[Email: anithapupgec@periyaruniversity.ac.in.](mailto:anithapupgec@periyaruniversity.ac.in)

### ABSTRACT

This analysis work explored the investigation of (IL-FSI) of a Hemiring  $\mathbb{R}$ . The motivation behind the study is to present the idea of strongest Intuitionistic Fuzzy set (IFS) with L-Fuzzy soft of Hemiring  $\mathbb{R}$  and develop specific outcome on these. We in like manner made an undertaking to consider some related properties are implemented while analyzing the results of IL-FSI of Hemiring  $\mathbb{R}$ . Finally category theory under morphisms are specified.

**2000 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 05C38, 15A15, 05A15, 15A18.**

**Keywords :** Fuzzy soft set, L-fuzzy set, IL-FSI, Strongest Intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft set.

### 1 Introduction:

The fuzzification of algebraic shape play a prominent function in arithmetic with huge programs in lots of other branches which consists of manipulate engineering, records, sciences, coding concept and so on. A. Zadeh [32] in 1965, presented fuzzy sets(FS) and because of the development made in the concept of uncertainty, motivated Lotfi A. Zadeh to introduce a concept where in items of FSs with boundary that are inadequacy. The membership in a FS seems to be a notable deal of affirmation or denial than a rely of degree. This progressive technique is carried out more exactly in all kinds of disciplines to resolve a number of problems.

Further Maji et al [18-20] and Goguen [13] projected the concepts of FS with soft set and L-fuzzy set. In 1983, Atanassov [6] presented the Intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) as a induction of FS, which is an inspiration of many researchers to work on semirings from abstract algebra with IFS[10],[15-16],[28-29]. Henriksen [14] characterized a restricted form of ideals in semirings with commutative addition. In this analysis we refer significant results observed from ideals[2-3],[7],[30-31].Iizuka established his philosophies on the Jacobson radical of a semiring.

Hemiring as semiring with additively commutative monoid with zero, seem in a normal way in applications involved in the philosophy of automata and formal language [1]. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the algebraic shape of Intuitionistic fuzzy soft set(IFSS) with some natural classification of IL-FSIs. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the algebraic shape of Intuitionistic fuzzy soft set(IFSS) with some natural classification of IL-FSIs for the

corresponding hemiring . Here we put in force the concept of strongest Intuitionistic L- Fuzzy soft set relations homomorphic[23] pre image and its related properties are analysed

**2 Preliminaries :** In this section we list some prerequisites for our research work.

**Definition 2.1 ([02])** A non-void set  $\mathbb{R}$  on which operations satisfied addition and multiplication have been fulfill the following conditions are called hemiring.

- (i)  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  is a semigroup and commutative monoid with identity element zero,
- (ii)  $(\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$  is a semigroup,
- (iii)  $(c + d) \cdot k = c \cdot k + d \cdot k$  and  $c \cdot (d + k) = c \cdot d + c \cdot k$ , for every  $c, d, k \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 2.2**  $(Z, +, \cdot)$  is a hemiring under the usual addition and multiplication, some place  $Z$  is the set of all integers.

**Definition 2.3 ([03])** A non-exhaust subset  $A$  of a hemiring  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  is recognized as a subhemiring if it contains 0 and is closed under the operation of addition and multiplication in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.4 ([03])** Let  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}', +, \cdot)$  be whichever two hemirings. At that point  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}'$  is known as a **hemiring homomorphism** if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\psi (h+k) = \psi (h) + \psi (k)$ ,
- (ii)  $\psi (hk) = \psi (h) \psi (k)$ , for all  $h$  and  $k$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 2.5** Let  $\mathbb{R} = \{ m + n\sqrt{2} / m, n \in Z \}$  is a hemiring under two binary operation. Then  $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}'$  by  $\psi (m + n\sqrt{2}) = m - n\sqrt{2}$  is hemiring homomorphism, everywhere  $Z$  is the set of all integers.

**Definition 2.6 ([02])** A subhemiring  $S$  of a hemiring  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  is said to be a **characteristic subhemiring** of  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  if  $\psi (S) \subset S$ , for every automorphism  $\psi$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.7** Let  $Y$  be a non-empty set. A **fuzzy subset**  $H$  of  $Y$  is  $\mathbb{H} : Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 2.8 ([18])** A pair  $(K,G)$  is identified as a soft set  $\Leftrightarrow G$  is a function  $K$  in to these to fall sub set of the set  $U$

**Example 2.9** suppose  $U$  is the set of five Laptops under consideration. Here  $U = \{ T_1 , T_2 , T_3 , T_4, T_5 \}$  and  $K= \{ p_1 (\text{good looking} ) , p_2 (\text{quality}), p_3 (\text{storage space}) , p_4 (\text{modern technology}), p_5 (\text{price}) \}$  be the set of parameters.

Here the Table represents how the person choosing the Laptop.

$$(K, G) = \{ P_1 (T_1, T_4) , P_2 (T_2, T_5) , P_3 (T_2, T_4) , P_4 (T_1, T_3) , P_5 (T_3, T_5) \}$$

Laptop	good looking	Quality	Storage space	Modern technology	price
T <sub>1</sub>	1	0	0	1	0
T <sub>2</sub>	0	1	1	0	0

T <sub>3</sub>	0	0	0	1	1
T <sub>4</sub>	1	0	1	0	0
T <sub>5</sub>	0	1	0	0	1

**Definition 2.10 ([11])** Let  $(G, K)$  be a soft universe and  $B \subseteq K$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  be the arrangement of all fuzzy subsets in  $G$ . A couple  $(\tilde{F}, B)$  is known as a fuzzy soft set over  $U$ , where  $\tilde{F}$ , is a mapping specified as a result of  $\tilde{F} : B \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(G)$ .

**Example 2.11** Let fuzzy soft set  $(S, H)$  portray attractiveness of the shirts by means of esteem to the specified constraint which the person behind are obtain able to wear  $X = \{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5\}$  which is the set of all shirts under consideration. Let  $I^X$  be the gathering of all fuzzy subsets of  $X$  also

Let  $K = \{k_1 = \text{“colourful”}, k_2 = \text{“bright”}, k_3 = \text{“cheap”}, k_4 = \text{“warm”}\}$ .

Let  $\Psi(k_1) = n_1/0.5, n_2/0.9, n_3/0, n_4/0.1, n_5/0.2$

$\Psi(k_2) = n_1/1.0, n_2/0.8, n_3/0.7, n_4/0.3, n_5/0.4$

$\Psi(k_3) = n_1/0.1, n_2/0.5, n_3/0.3, n_4/0.6, n_5/0.9$

$\Psi(k_4) = n_1/0.2, n_2/1.0, n_3/0.8, n_4/0.5, n_5/0.3$

Then the family  $\{\Psi(k_j), j = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  of  $I^X$  is a fuzzy soft set  $(S, H)$ .

**Definition 2.12** Let  $Y$  be a non-empty set and  $L = (L, \leq)$  be a lattice with least element 0 and greatest element 1.

**Definition 2.13** Let  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  be a hemiring. A L-fuzzy soft subset  $(S, H)$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is supposed to be a L-fuzzy soft subhemiring (LFSSHR) of  $\mathbb{R}$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i)  $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\},$

(ii)  $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\},$

for every  $u_{(S, H)}$  and  $v_{(S, H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 2.14** Let  $R = A = \mathbb{Z}_6 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Then Consider  $F: R \rightarrow \wp(R)$  given by  $F(x) = \{y \in R, x \cdot y = 0\}$  Then  $F(0) = R, F(1) = \{0\}, F(2) = \{0, 3\}, F(3) = \{0, 2, 4\}, F(4) = \{0, 3\}$  and  $F(5) = \{0\}$ . All these sets are subhemirings of  $R$ . Therefore  $(S, H)$  is a soft subhemiring over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.15** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be a hemiring. An IL-FS subset  $(S, H)$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  is said to be an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i)  $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\},$

(ii)  $\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) \geq \{\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\},$

(iii)  $\nu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} + v_{(S, H)}) \leq \{\nu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \vee \nu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\},$

(iv)  $\nu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)} v_{(S, H)}) \leq \{\nu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \vee \nu_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)})\},$  for all  $u_{(S, H)}$  and  $v_{(S, H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.16 ([17])** Let  $(S, H)$  and  $(R, D)$  sbe IL-FS subsets of sets  $G$  and  $H$ , correspondingly. Then  $(S, H) \times (R, D) = \{ \langle (u_{(S, H)}, v_{(R, D)}) \rangle, \mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, v_{(R, D)}), \nu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, v_{(R, D)}) \}$  For every  $u_{(S, H)}$  in  $G$  and  $v_{(R, D)}$  in  $H$ , Where

$\mu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, v_{(R, D)}) = \{\mu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mu_{(R, D)}(v_{(R, D)})\}$  and

$\nu_{(S, H) \times (R, D)}(u_{(S, H)}, v_{(R, D)}) = \{\nu_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)}) \vee \nu_{(R, D)}(v_{(R, D)})\}.$

**3 PROPERTIES OF IL-FSI OF HEMIRING**

The approach of IL-FSIs of hemiring  $\mathbb{R}$  are discussed below.

**Theorem 3.1** The  $\cap$  of any two IL-FSI of a hemiring  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  is an IL-FSI of  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$

**Proof:** Let us assume that  $(S, H)$  and  $(R, D)$  be any two IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}$  and Let  $u$  and  $v$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } (S, H) &= \{ (u_{(S,H)}, {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}), \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)})) / u_{(S,H)} \in \mathbb{R} \}, \\ (R, D) &= \{ (u_{(R,D)}, {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}), \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)})) / u_{(R,D)} \in \mathbb{R} \} \text{ and also} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } (S, T) &= (S, H) \cap (R, D) \\ &= \{ (u_{(S,T)}, {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}), \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)})) / u_{(S,T)} \in \mathbb{R} \}, \text{ where} \end{aligned}$$

$${}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) = \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) = \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \}.$$

At present,  ${}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} + v_{(S,T)})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)} + v_{(R,D)}) \} \\ &\geq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

${}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} + v_{(S,T)}) \geq \{ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}$ , for all  $u_{(S,E)}$  and  $v_{(S,T)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . And,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} v_{(S,T)}) &= \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)} v_{(R,D)}) \} \\ &\geq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &\geq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \wedge {}^p_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

${}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} v_{(S,T)}) \geq \{ {}^p_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \wedge {}^p_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}$ , for all  $u_{(S,E)}$  and  $v_{(S,E)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} + v_{(S,T)}) &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)} + v_{(R,D)}) \} \\ &\leq \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &\leq \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} + v_{(S,T)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}$ , for all  $u_{(S,T)}$  and  $v_{(S,T)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now  $\mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} v_{(S,T)})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)} v_{(R,D)}) \} \\ &\leq \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \wedge \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &\leq \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(u_{(R,D)}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \} \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)} v_{(S,T)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(u_{(S,T)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,T)}(v_{(S,T)}) \}$ , for every  $u_{(S,T)}$  and  $v_{(S,T)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Thusly  $(S, T)$  is an IL-FSI of a  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  be a hemiring. The  $\cap$  of a family of IL-FSIs of  $\mathbb{R}$  is an IL-FSIs of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof:** Given as a chance consider  $\{(L, O) \mid i \in I\}$  be a family of IL-FSIs of a  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ .

Let  ${}^p_{(S,H)} = \prod_{i \in I} (K, G)$  Let  $u_{(S,H)}$  and  $v_{(S,H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$${}^p_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) = \inf_{i \in I} {}^p_{(S,H)i}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq \inf_{i \in I} \{ \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \} \\ & = \{ \inf_{i \in I} \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \wedge \inf_{i \in I} \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \} \\ & = \{ \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly,  $\mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \geq \{ \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \}$ , for each  $\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) &= \inf_{i \in I} \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \\ &\geq \inf_{i \in I} \{ \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \} \\ &\geq \{ \inf_{i \in I} \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \wedge \inf_{i \in I} \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \} \\ &= \{ \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly,  $\mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \geq \{ \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \wedge \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \}$ , for each  $\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) &= \sup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \\ &\leq \sup_{i \in I} \{ \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \} \\ &= \{ \sup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_{(L, O) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \vee \sup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_{(L, O) i} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \} \\ &= \{ \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly,  $\mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \leq \{ \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \}$ , for each  $\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{And, } \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) &= \sup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \\ &\leq \sup_{i \in I} \{ \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \} \\ &\leq \{ \sup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \vee \sup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H) i} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \} \\ &= \{ \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly,  $\mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \leq \{ \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}) \vee \mathfrak{U}_{(S, H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}) \}$ , for each  $\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So,  $(S, H)$  is an IL-FSI of a  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ .

#### 4 AN IL-FSIs OF $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ UNDER HOMOMORPHISM AND ANTI-HOMOMORPHISM

Using some additional properties of IL-FSIs of hemiring  $\mathbb{R}_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}_2$  under homomorphism and anti-homomorphism the theorems are explained in the following way.

**Theorem 4.1** If  $(S, H)$  and  $(R, D)$  be IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}_2$  respectively, then  $(S, H) \times (R, D)$  is an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(S, H)$  and  $(R, D)$  be two IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}_2$  correspondingly. Let  $\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}$  and  $\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}$  be in  $\mathbb{R}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2}$  be in  $\mathbb{R}_2$ . Then  $(\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1})$  and  $(\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2})$  are in  $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$ .

At present,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1}) + (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2}) ] \\ = \mathfrak{J}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} + \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} + \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \\
 &\geq \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \} \\
 &= \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \} \\
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \}. \\
 \text{Now } &{}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) + ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) ] \\
 &\geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \}. \\
 \text{Also } &{}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) ] \\
 &= {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \\
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \\
 &\geq \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \} \\
 &\geq \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \} \\
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \}. \\
 &{}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) ] \\
 &\geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \}. \\
 \text{And } &{}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) + ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) ] \\
 &= {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} + \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \\
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} + \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \\
 &\leq \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \} \vee \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \} \\
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \}. \\
 \text{Thusly, } &{}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) + ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) ] \\
 &\leq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \}. \\
 \text{Also, } &{}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) ] \\
 &= {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \\
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \\
 &\leq \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \} \vee \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \} \\
 &\leq \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \} \vee \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \} \} \\
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \}. \\
 \text{Thusly, } &{}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) ] \\
 &\leq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)1} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)2}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)2} ) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (S,H) x (R,D) is an IL-FSI of hemiring of  $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$ .

**Theorem 4.2** Let (S, H) and (R, D) be IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}_2$  correspondingly. Say that  $i'$  and  $i''$  are the identity element of  $\mathbb{R}_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}_2$  respectively. If (S, H)  $\times$  (R, D) is an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$ , then at least one of the following two statements must hold.

- (i)  ${}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( i''_{(R, D)} ) \geq {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} )$  and  ${}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( i''_{(R, D)} ) \leq {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} )$ , for all  $\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_1$ ,
- (ii)  ${}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( i'_{(S, H)} ) \geq {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} )$  and  ${}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( i'_{(S, H)} ) \leq {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} )$ , for all  $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_2$ .

**Proof:** Let (S, H) x (R,D) be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy ideal of  $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$ . By contraposition, Assume that none of the statements (i) and (ii) holds. Then we can find a in  $\mathbb{R}_1$  and b in  $\mathbb{R}_2$  such that  ${}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{a}_{(S, H)} ) > {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( i''_{(R, D)} )$ ,  ${}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{a}_{(S, H)} ) < {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( i''_{(R, D)} )$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &{}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{b}_{(R, D)} ) > {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( i'_{(S, H)} ), \quad {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{b}_{(R, D)} ) < {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H)} ( i'_{(S, H)} ). \\
 &{}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{a}_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{b}_{(R, D)} ) = \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{a}_{(S, H)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{b}_{(R, D)} ) \} > \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( i''_{(R, D)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( i'_{(S, H)} ) \} \\
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H)} ( i'_{(S, H)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(R, D)} ( i''_{(R, D)} ) \} \\
 &= {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( i'_{(S, H)}, i''_{(R, D)} ). \text{ And } {}^{\mathfrak{U}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{a}_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{b}_{(R, D)} )
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(a_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(b_{(R,D)}) \} < \{ \mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(i'_{(S,H)}) \}$$

$$= \mathcal{U}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(i'_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}).$$

Thus  $(S, H) \times (R, D)$  is not an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$ .

Therefore either  $\mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)}) \geq \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)})$  and

$$\mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)}) \leq \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}), \text{ for all } u_{(S,H)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_1 \text{ or}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(i'_{(S,H)}) \geq \mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \text{ and } \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(i'_{(S,H)}) \leq \mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}), \text{ for all } v_{(R,D)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_2.$$

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $(S, H)$  and  $(R, D)$  be two Intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft subsets of the hemirings  $\mathbb{R}_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}_2$  correspondingly and  $(S, H) \times (R, D)$  is an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft ideal of  $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$ . Then the following are true:

- (i) if  $\mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \leq \mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)})$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \geq \mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)})$ , then  $(S, H)$  is an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}_1$ .
- (ii) if  $\mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \leq \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(i'_{(S,H)})$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(v_{(R,D)}) \geq \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(i'_{(S,H)})$ , then  $(R, D)$  is an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}_2$ .
- (iii) either  $(S, H)$  is an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}_1$  or  $(R, D)$  is an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}_2$

**Proof:** Let  $(S, H) \times (R, D)$  be an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft ideal of  $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$  and  $u$  and  $v$  in  $\mathbb{R}_1$  and  $i''$  in  $\mathbb{R}_2$ . Then  $(u_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)})$  and  $(v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)})$  are in  $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$ .

At present,  $\mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \leq \mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)})$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \geq \mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)})$ , for all  $u_{(S,H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_1$ .

$$\mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) = \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)} + i''_{(R,D)}) \}$$

$$= \mathcal{P}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)} + i''_{(R,D)})$$

$$= \mathcal{P}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}[u_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)} + v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}]$$

$$\geq \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)}) \} \wedge \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \} \geq \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \}.$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) \geq \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \}, \text{ for all } u_{(S,H)} \text{ and } v_{(S,H)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_1.$$

Also,  $\mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}) = \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)} i''_{(R,D)}) \}$

$$= \mathcal{P}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)} i''_{(R,D)})$$

$$= \mathcal{P}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}[u_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}](v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)})$$

$$\geq \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)}) \} \wedge \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \}.$$

Thusly,  $\mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}) \geq \{ \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \wedge \mathcal{P}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \}$ , for all  $u_{(S,H)}$  and  $v_{(S,H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_1$ .

And,  $\mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) = \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)} + i''_{(R,D)}) \}$

$$= \mathcal{U}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)} + i''_{(R,D)})$$

$$= \mathcal{U}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}[u_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)} + v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}]$$

$$\leq \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}) \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)}) \} \vee \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \}.$$

Thusly,  $\mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} + v_{(S,H)}) \leq \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(v_{(S,H)}) \}$ , for all  $u_{(S,H)}$  and  $v_{(S,H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_1$ .

Also,  $\mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}) = \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S,H)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(R,D)}(i''_{(R,D)} i''_{(R,D)}) \}$

$$= \mathcal{U}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}(u_{(S,H)} v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)} i''_{(R,D)})$$

$$= \mathcal{U}_{(S,H) \times (R,D)}[u_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)}](v_{(S,H)}, i''_{(R,D)})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{i}''_{(R, D)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{i}''_{(R, D)} ) \} \\ &= \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{i}''_{(R, D)} ) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{i}''_{(R, D)} ) \} \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)} ) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly  $\mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)} ) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(S, H)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(S, H)} ) \}$ , for all  $\mathbf{u}_{(S, H)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(S, H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_1$ .

Consequently  $(S, H)$  is an intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft ideal of  $\mathbb{R}_1$ . Thus (i) is proved.

At present  ${}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \leq {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} )$  and

$\mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \geq \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} )$ , for all  $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_2$ ,

Let  $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_2$  and  $\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_1$ .

Then  $(\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)})$  and  $(\mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)})$  are in  $\mathbb{R}_1 \times \mathbb{R}_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \} \\ &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \\ &= {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ), ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) ) \\ &= {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) + ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) ] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \\ &= \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \} \\ &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly,  ${}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \}$ , for all  $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \} \\ &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \\ &= {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ), ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) ) \\ &= {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) ] \\ &\geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \\ &= \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \} \\ &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly,  ${}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \}$ , for all  $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{And, } \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} + \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \\ &= \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} [ ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) + ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) ] \\ &\leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \\ &= \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \} \end{aligned}$$

Thusly,  $\mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} + \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \}$ , for all  $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_2$ . Also,  $\mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) = \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \\ &= \mathbf{U}_{((S, H) \times (R, D))} [ ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) ] \\ &\leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S, H) \times (R, D)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)}, \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \\ &= \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S, H)} ( \mathbf{i}'_{(S, H)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \} \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thusly,  $\mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{u}_{(R, D)} ) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(R, D)} ( \mathbf{v}_{(R, D)} ) \}$ , for all  $\mathbf{u}_{(R, D)}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(R, D)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}_2$ . In this manner  $(R, D)$  is an IL-FSI of a hemiring  $\mathbb{R}_2$ . Thus (ii) is proved (iii) is clear.



**5 IL-FSIS OF HEMIRING USING STRONGEST INTUITIONISTIC L-FUZZY SOFT RELATION**

In this section provides main results of **IL-FSIs of hemiring** are explained using strongest IL-FS set relation .

**Theorem 5.1** Let  $(S, H)$  be an IL-FS subset of a  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  and  $(L, O)$  be the strongest IL-FS related to  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $(S, H)$  is an IL-FSI of  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot) \Leftrightarrow (L, O)$  is an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $(S, H)$  is an IL-FSI of a  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ .

Then for any

$u_{(S, H)} = (u_{(S, H)1}, u_{(S, H)2})$  and

$v_{(S, H)} = (v_{(S, H)1}, v_{(S, H)2})$  are in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

We have,  ${}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} + v_{(L, O)})$

$$= {}^p_{(L, O)}[(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) + (v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})]$$

$$= {}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1} + v_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2} + v_{(L, O)2})$$

$$\geq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^p_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \wedge {}^p_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \{ {}^p_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^p_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^p_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) \wedge {}^p_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2}) \}$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \wedge {}^p_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}.$$

${}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} + v_{(L, O)}) \geq \{ {}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \wedge {}^p_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}$ , for all  $u_{(L, O)}$  and  $v_{(L, O)}$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . And,

$${}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} \vee v_{(L, O)}) = {}^p_{(L, O)}[(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) \vee (v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})]$$

$$= {}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1} \vee v_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2} \vee v_{(L, O)2})$$

$$\geq \{ \{ {}^p_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^p_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \wedge {}^p_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \{ {}^p_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^p_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \} \wedge \{ {}^p_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^p_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) \wedge {}^p_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2}) \}$$

$$= \{ {}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \wedge {}^p_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}.$$

Thusly,  ${}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} \vee v_{(L, O)}) \geq \{ {}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \wedge {}^p_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}$ , for all  $u_{(L, O)}$  and  $v_{(L, O)}$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Also we have,  $\mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} + v_{(L, O)}) = \mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}[(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) + (v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})]$

$$= \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(L, O)1} + v_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2} + v_{(L, O)2})$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1} + v_{(S, H)1}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2} + v_{(S, H)2}) \}$$

$$\leq \{ \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \} \vee \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \} \vee \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$\mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} + v_{(L, O)}) \leq \{ \mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}$ , for all  $u_{(L, O)}$  and  $v_{(L, O)}$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

And,  $\mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)} \vee v_{(L, O)}) = \mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}[(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) \vee (v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})]$

$$= \mathcal{U}_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1} \vee v_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2} \vee v_{(L, O)2})$$

$$\leq \{ \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)1}) \} \vee \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(S, H)2}) \} \}$$

$$= \{ \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(L, O)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(L, O)}) \}.$$

$\mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(L, O)} \vee v_{(L, O)}) \leq \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(u_{(L, O)}) \vee \mathcal{U}_{(S, H)}(v_{(L, O)})$ , for all  $u_{(L, O)}$  and  $v_{(L, O)}$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

i.e)  $(L, O)$  is an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Assume that  $(L, O)$  is an Intuitionistic L-fuzzy soft ideal of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , then

$u = (u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2})$  and  $v = (v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})$  are in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\{ {}^p_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)1} + v_{(S, H)1}) \wedge {}^p_{(S, H)}(u_{(S, H)2} + v_{(S, H)2}) \}$$

$$= {}^p_{(L, O)}(u_{(L, O)1} + v_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2} + v_{(L, O)2})$$

$$= {}^p_{(L, O)}[(u_{(L, O)1}, u_{(L, O)2}) + (v_{(L, O)1}, v_{(L, O)2})]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)} + \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)}) \geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)}) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)}) \} \\
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{u}_{(L,O)2}) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)2}) \} \\
 &= \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2}) \} \wedge \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2}) \} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2} = \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2} = 0$ , we get,

$${}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1} + \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \}, \text{ for all } \mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1} \text{ and } \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.$$

And,  $\{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1} \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2} \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2}) \}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)1} \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{u}_{(L,O)2} \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)2}) \\
 &= {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} [(\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{u}_{(L,O)2}) (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)2})] \\
 &= {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)}, \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)}) \geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)}) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)}) \} \\
 &= \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{u}_{(L,O)2}) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)2}) \} \\
 &= \{ \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2}) \} \vee \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \wedge {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2}) \} \}
 \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2} = \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2} = 0$ ,

$$\text{we get } {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1} \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \geq \{ {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1}) \vee {}^{\mathfrak{P}}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \},$$

For every  $\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\max \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1} + \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}), \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2} + \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2}) \} \\
 &= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)1} + \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{u}_{(L,O)2} + \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)2}) \\
 &= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} [(\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{u}_{(L,O)2}) + (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)2})] \\
 &= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)} + \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)}) \} \\
 &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{u}_{(L,O)2}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)2}) \} \\
 &= \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2}) \} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2} = \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2} = 0$ , we get,

$$\mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1} + \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \}, \text{ for all } \mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1} \text{ and } \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.$$

And  $\{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1} \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2} \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2}) \}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)1} \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{u}_{(L,O)2} \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)2}) \\
 &= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} [(\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{u}_{(L,O)2}) (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)2})] \\
 &= \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)}, \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)}) \} \\
 &= \{ \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{u}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{u}_{(L,O)2}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(L,O)} (\mathbf{v}_{(L,O)1}, \mathbf{v}_{(L,O)2}) \} \\
 &= \{ \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2}) \} \vee \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2}) \} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)2} = \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)2} = 0$ , we get,  $\mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1} \mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \leq \{ \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1}) \vee \mathbf{U}_{(S,H)} (\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}) \}$ , for all  $\mathbf{u}_{(S,H)1}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{(S,H)1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . In this way  $(S, H)$  is an IL-FSI of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## 6 Conclusion

The principle thought of this examination work has been momentarily clarified and laid out the properties of IL-FS subhemiring of a hemiring and furthermore demonstrated hypotheses on morphism of soft subhemiring of a hemiring, in future unquestionably it fosters the investigation of standards of (Q,L)-fuzzy soft ideals of subhemiring and furthermore this system can be reached out to inter valued (Q,L)-FSSHR of a hemiring. We believe that this work will give significant impact on the approaching investigations in this field and other soft algebraic examination to open up new horizons of premium and headways.

**Acknowledgement** The author is exceedingly gratifying to the assessors for their precious implication supportive in convalescing this manuscript. This research work of the author was sustained by Periyar University.

**Conflicts of interest** The author declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] Aho A.W and vuman, J.D “Intro.to automata theory”, *languages and computation Addison- wesley* 1978.
- [2] Akram . M and Dar . K.h. , On anti fuzzy left h- ideals in hemirings , *International Mathematical forum* ,Vol.2 , No.46 , 2295 – 2304 (2007).
- [3] Akram. M and Dar. K.h., Fuzzy left h- ideals in hemirings with respect to a s-norm, *International Journal of computational and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 2, No.1, 7-14 (2007).
- [4] Anitha.N and Latha.M, “A study on t-fuzzy soft subhemirings of a hemiring”, *Asia Mathemaytika, Vol. 1, No.1, 1-6 (2017)*
- [5] Anitha.N, “Anti (s,q)-fuzzy subhemirings of a hemiring ”, *International Journal of Applied Research, Vol.1, No.11, 465-468(2015)*
- [6] Atanassov K.T, “Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets-Theory and Applications”, *Springer Verlag*, New York,(1999).
- [7] Banerjee.B and D.K.basnet, Intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and ideals, *Journal of fuzzy Mathematics* Vol.11, No.1, 139-155 (2003).
- [8] Biswas.R, “Fuzzy subgroups and Anti-fuzzy subgroups”, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 35,121-124 ( 1990 ).
- [9] Biswas, R, Roy, A.R, “On Intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, 3(4), (1997).
- [10] Chakrabarty, K., Biswas, R., Nanda, “A note on union and intersection of Intuitionistic fuzzy sets” ,*Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets* , 3(4), (1997).
- [11] Choudhury.F.P. and Chakraborty.A. B. and Khare.S.S., A note on fuzzy subgroups and fuzzy homomorphism, *Journal of Mathematical analysis and Applications*, Vol.131 ,No.2, 537 -553 (1988 ).
- [12] Gargov K., Atanassov.K., An intuitionistic fuzzy interpretation of the basic axom of the resolution ,*Notes on intuitionistic fuzzy sets* ,Vol.2,No.3,6-7 (1996).
- [13] Goguen, J., L-fuzzy sets, *Journal Math. Anal. Appl.*, 18, 145- 174 (1967)
- [14] Henriksen.M., “ideals in Semiring with commutative addition”, *Amer,math.soc. notices*,1958,6(1):321
- [15] Hur.K, Kang.H.W and H.K.Song, “Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and subrings”, *Honam Math. J.* 25no.1, 19-41 (2001)
- [16] Kim. K.H., “On Intuitionistic Q- fuzzy semi prime ideals in semi groups”, *Advances in fuzzy Mathematics, Vol.1, No.1,15-21(2006)*.
- [17] Kumud Borgohain and Chittaranjan Gohain, “Some New operations on Fuzzy Soft Sets”, *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER)*, Vol.4,Issue 4(2014),65-68.
- [18] Maji, P.K., R. Biswas and A.R. Roy, “Fuzzy soft sets”. *The J. Fuzzy Math.*, 9: 589-602.(2001).
- [19] Maji P.K, Roy A.R and Biswas.R, “An application of soft sets in a decision making problem”, *AnInternational Journal of Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 44

(2002), 1077–1083.

- [20] Maji P.K, Biswas.R and Roy “A.R, Soft set theory”, *An International Journal of Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 45 (2003), 555-562.
- [21] Molodtsov. D, “Soft set theory- first results,” *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 37, no. 4-5, pp. 19–31, 1999.
- [22] Palaniappan. N & Arjunan. K, “Some properties of intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups”, *Acta Ciencia Indica*, Vol. XXXIII M. No.2, 321-328 (2007).
- [23] Palaniappan.N & Muthuraj.R, “ The homomorphism, Anti-homomorphism of a fuzzy and an anti-fuzzy groups”, *Varahmihir Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 4, No.2, 387-399 (2004).
- [24] Rajesh Kumar, Fuzzy irreducible ideals in rings, fuzzy sets and systems, Vol.4 No.3, 369-379 (1991).
- [25] Ratnabala Devi.O, On the intuitionistic Q-fuzzy ideals of near rings, Vol.3,No. 15, 25-32 (2009).
- [26] Sidky.F.I and Atif Mishref.M, “Fuzzy cosets and cyclic and Abelian fuzzy subgroups”, *fuzzy sets and systems*, 43243-250 (1991).
- [27] Sivaramakrishna das.P, “Fuzzy groups and level subgroups”, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 84, 264-269 (1981).
- [28] Tanushree Mitra Basu, Nirmal Kumar Mahapatra and Shyamal Kumar Mondal “On Some New Operations in Fuzzy Soft Set And Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Set Theory” *IRACST - International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology & Security (IJSITS)*, ISSN: 2249-9555 Vol. 2, No.2, A(2012).
- [29] Tariq Shah, Nasreen Kausar and Inayat-ur-Rehman.“Intuitionistic Fuzzy Normal subrings over a non-associative ring” *An. S.t. Univ. Ovidius Constant,a* Vol. 20(1), (2012), 369–386
- [30] Wieslaw Dudek, Intuitionistic fuzzy h- ideals of hemirings , *Wseas Transactions on Mathematics* , Vol.12, 1315-1331(2006).
- [31] Xueling ma . Jianming zhan, On fuzzy h – ideals of hemirings, *Journal of systems science & complexity*, Vol.20 , 470 – 478(2007).
- [32] Zadeh L.A, “Fuzzy Sets”, *Information and Control*, 8(1965), 33.